

# SN 2024abvb: a Type Ibn/Icn supernova with evidence of helium and an extreme offset from its host galaxy

Callum Aster<sup>1,1\*</sup>, Cosimo Inserra<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Pastorello<sup>2</sup>, Joseph P Anderson<sup>3</sup>, Franz Erik Bauer<sup>4</sup>, K. Azalee Bostroem<sup>5,6</sup>, Kenneth C. Chambers<sup>7</sup>, Ting-Wan Chen<sup>8</sup>, Joseph R. Farah<sup>9,10</sup>, Morgan Fraser<sup>11</sup>, Dino Pierluigi Fugazza<sup>12</sup>, Mariusz Gromadzki<sup>13</sup>, Claudia P. Gutiérrez<sup>14,15</sup>, D. Andrew Howell<sup>9,10</sup>, Erkki Kankare<sup>16</sup>, Tom L. Killestein<sup>17</sup>, Niilo Koivisto<sup>16</sup>, Giorgos Leloudas<sup>18</sup>, J. D. Lyman<sup>17</sup>, Kyle Medler<sup>7</sup>, Shane Moran<sup>19</sup>, Tomás E. Müller-Bravo<sup>20,21</sup>, Giuliano Pignata<sup>4</sup>, Miika Pursiainen<sup>17</sup>, Fabio Ragosta<sup>22,23</sup>, Andrea Reguitti<sup>2,12</sup>, Jesper Sollerman<sup>24</sup>, Giorgio Valerin<sup>2</sup>, Ben Warwick<sup>17</sup> and David R. Young<sup>25</sup>

*Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper*

Accepted 2026 February 23. Received 2026 February 21; in original form 2026 January 5

## ABSTRACT

We present spectroscopic and photometric observations and analysis of SN 2024abvb, a peculiar transitional Type Ibn/Icn supernova located at an unusually large projected distance from its host galaxy (21.5 kpc). SN 2024abvb displays an extended rise time in the *g* and *o* bands (10.1 and 10.6 d, respectively), followed by a linear decline in all photometric bands. Comparisons with other supernova subclasses show that the photometric and spectroscopic evolution of SN 2024abvb are distinct from Type Ibn and Type Icn events, with a higher peak *r*-band luminosity and lower blackbody temperatures. Spectra reveal an initial blue continuum and narrow P-Cygni profiles, with C II  $\lambda$ 5890 dominating in emission, persisting at late phases, and showing a rapid decline in the expansion velocity. Weak He I  $\lambda$ 5876 features are tentatively detected at early times. Analysis of progenitor scenarios rules out thermonuclear origins based on incompatible light-curve shapes and spectral signatures. A rare massive star progenitor appears unlikely given the low local star formation rate. The most plausible origin is an ultra-stripped supernova scenario involving a binary system; this best explains the observed separation from the host, the low circumstellar material mass, the fast photometric evolution and the low nickel production, although a discrepancy in model versus observed ejecta mass remains. These results reinforce the classification of SN 2024abvb as a distinctive Type Ibn/Icn event and highlight the diversity of progenitor channels for interacting supernovae.

**Key words:** supernovae: general – supernovae: individual: SN 2024abvb.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Massive stars, those with an initial mass at the Zero Age Main Sequence exceeding roughly 8  $M_{\odot}$ , end their lives as core-collapse supernovae (CCSNe). Observationally, CCSNe divide into hydrogen-rich (Type II) and hydrogen-poor (Type I) classes based on the presence or absence of Balmer lines in their spectra (R. Minkowski 1941; A. V. Filippenko 1997). This spectroscopic dichotomy reflects fundamentally different pre-explosion evolutionary pathways, driven by mass-loss processes.

Hydrogen-poor SNe (Type I) can arise when strong stellar winds or eruptive episodes remove the progenitor’s hydrogen envelope. In isolated massive stars, radiatively driven winds become increasingly efficient at higher metallicity and luminosity, even-

tually peeling away the outer layers to reveal helium- and heavier-element cores (R.-P. Kudritzki & J. Puls 2000). Such progenitors give rise to ‘stripped-envelope’ supernovae (SE SNe), subdivided into Type Ib (helium lines present), Type IIb (hydrogen mostly stripped) and Type Ic (helium lines weak or absent) events (S. E. Woosley, N. Langer & T. A. Weaver 1995). Binary interactions, through Roche lobe overflow or common-envelope ejection, can produce analogous stripping even for stars whose winds alone would not suffice (e.g. N. Smith et al. 2011).

Wolf–Rayet (WR) stars, characterized by their broad emission-line spectra, constitute one of the possible SE SN progenitors (T. Sukhbold et al. 2016). Within the WR family, nitrogen-rich (WN) stars are generally linked to Type Ib explosions, whereas carbon-rich (WC and WO) stars correspond to Type Ic events (J. C. Wheeler & R. Leveault 1985; D. J. Hunter et al. 2009). However, lower-mass helium stars, produced via binary mass transfer, may also explode as SE SNe (e.g. M. R. Drout et al. 2014; J. D. Lyman


\* E-mail: [aubreyc@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:aubreyc@cardiff.ac.uk)

et al. 2016; S. J. Prentice et al. 2018), complicating the mapping between spectral subtype and progenitor mass (J. J. Eldridge et al. 2013).

When significant amounts of circumstellar material (CSM) remain close to the progenitor at collapse, either retained from previous eruptions or not fully dispersed by winds, the SN ejecta can collide with this CSM. The interaction converts kinetic energy into radiation, and the observed SN show multicomponent emission profiles generated by the ejecta and the CSM. These interacting SNe are spectroscopically classified as Type IIn (hydrogen-rich CSM), Type Ibn (helium-rich CSM; R. J. Foley et al. 2007; A. Pastorello et al. 2007), Type Icn (carbon-rich CSM; A. Gal-Yam et al. 2022) or Type Ien (silicon- and sulfur-rich CSM; S. Schulze et al. 2025). Type Ibn SNe exhibit strong, narrow He I lines and generally lack the oxygen and carbon signatures that characterize Type Icn events, whereas Type Icn SNe show prominent O II-III and C II-III lines alongside P-Cygni profiles at early times (C. Pellegrino et al. 2022). The recently identified class of Type Ien shows a lack of CNO but strong Si and S narrow emission lines (S. Schulze et al. 2025). SN 2019hgp was the first firm Type Icn classification (A. Gal-Yam et al. 2022) and has been followed by an additional four confirmed events: one reclassification (SN 2019jc; C. Pellegrino et al. 2022) and three new discoveries (SNe 2021ckj, 2021csp, 2022ann; D. A. Perley et al. 2022; K. W. Davis et al. 2023; T. Nagao et al. 2023). These events share uniform characteristics: spectral line velocities of  $\sim 10^3$ – $10^4$  km s $^{-1}$ , consistent with WR progenitor winds; rise times to peak light of  $\sim 7$  d; peak absolute magnitudes clustered around  $M_r \approx -19$  mag; spectra dominated by narrow C and O emission/absorption, indicative of recently ejected carbon-rich CSM. The features shared among Type Icn events, fast rise time and the presence of carbon, might indicate similar progenitor scenarios. However, other photometric and spectroscopic properties suggest a wider diversity in progenitor scenarios. These scenarios range from carbon-rich, hydrogen- and helium-depleted stars that underwent a major mass-loss episode shortly before core collapse to compact objects in binary systems.

Here, we present the evolution of SN 2024abvb (see also M. Hu et al. 2026; INTEL Collaboration 2026; J. Shi et al. 2026), a new member of the SN Ibn/Icn family. SN 2024abvb was discovered by the Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS; J. L. Tonry et al. 2018) on 2024 November 22 (MJD 60636.36; J. Tonry et al. 2024), and subsequently classified as a Type Icn at  $z = 0.039$  by the NUTS collaboration (MJD 60641.90, M. Stritzinger et al. 2024). Due to the tentative detection of helium, we reconsider this classification. Type Icn SN are classified based on the absence of helium in their spectra. Thus, the tentative detection of helium in the medium-resolution spectra differs from a pure Type Icn event classification, suggesting that a transitional classification is more appropriate. Here we present ultraviolet (UV) through near-infrared (NIR) photometry alongside optical spectroscopy, with systematic comparisons to previously reported Type Icn, Type Ibn and transitional objects of Type Ibn/Icn. Throughout the paper, we assume a standard lambda cold dark matter ( $\Lambda$ CDM) cosmology (E. Komatsu et al. 2011) with  $\Omega_M = 0.27$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$  and  $H_0 = 70$  km s $^{-1}$  Mpc $^{-1}$  which gives  $D_L = 172.2$  Mpc.

SN 2024abvb is spatially offset from its probable host galaxy (host  $z = 0.039$ ), therefore host extinction is likely negligible. We adopt the line-of-sight extinction measurement at the SN position,  $A_V = 0.507$  mag, corresponding to  $E(B - V)_{MW} = 0.164$  mag (E. F. Schlafly & D. P. Finkbeiner 2011), as our total extinc-



**Figure 1.** **Left Panel:** *gri* image stack from Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) data (taken on 2024/11/28) with SN and host labelled. A 1 arcmin scale bar has been plotted at the top left of the image, with north oriented up and east to the left. **Right Panel:** *gri* image stack from Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI) Legacy Imaging Surveys (LIS, A. Dey et al. 2019) data (taken on 2019/08/08), all markers are the same across both images. Although we see clear spiral arms in the LIS image, the SN position is offset from the host.

**Table 1.** Key information for the SN and host. Time of last non-detection is 60634.5 MJD in the *o* band. We adopt the line-of-sight extinction measurement  $E(B - V) = 0.164$ , at the SN position (E. F. Schlafly & D. P. Finkbeiner 2011). No evident reddening is observed in the host (e.g. no narrow absorption lines). Hence, the MW reddening is the total.

Time of first detection (MJD)	60636.62
Estimated time of explosion (MJD)	$60635.45 \pm 1.1$
Estimated time of maximum (MJD)	$60645.13 \pm 0.4$
RA (J2000)	01:10:57.53
Dec. (J2000)	-05:44:07.91
Redshift	0.039
$E(B - V)_{MW}$ (mag)	0.164
$m_V^{\text{peak}}$ (mag)	16.70
$M_V^{\text{peak}}$ (mag)	-19.43
$t_{\text{rise},g/C}$ (d)	10.1
$t_{1/2, \text{decline},g/C}$ (d)	7.6
Separation from host (arcmin)	0.464
Separation from host (kpc)	21.5

tion. Fig. 1 shows a composite Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) *gri* image of the SN field  $\sim 6$  d post explosion together with that of the Legacy Imaging Survey (DR10) showing the host. Relevant SN and host information can be found in Table 1.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 describes the discovery, follow-up strategy and data reduction procedures for both photometry and spectroscopy. In Section 3, we present the detailed analysis of the light-curves and spectral series. In Section 4, we discuss the host of SN 2024abvb and provide an analysis of its environment. Section 5 we combine our findings and discuss the implications for the progenitor system and circumstellar environment of SN 2024abvb. Finally, in Section 6, we summarize the paper and make conclusions.

## 2 DATA

### 2.1 Photometry

Our photometric monitoring of SN 2024abvb utilises a heterogeneous suite of facilities and filter systems to achieve broad wavelength coverage (see Table A2). Optical imaging in the Johnson-Cousins *B*- and *V* bands and an Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS)-

like  $r$  band was obtained with the Andalucia Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC) on the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT; A. A. Djupvik & J. Andersen 2010). Complementary  $V$ -band frames were secured using the ESO Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (EFOSC2) mounted on the New Technology Telescope (NTT; B. Buzzoni et al. 1984). Additional optical data in the SDSS-like  $g$ ,  $r$ ,  $i$ , and  $z$  filters were acquired with the 1m network of telescopes of the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO; T. M. Brown et al. 2013), utilizing the Sinistro cameras. Since instruments with very different passbands were used for the follow-up of SN 2024abvb we checked the uncertainties of passband corrections (e.g. M. Stritzinger et al. 2002; G. Pignata et al. 2004) to standardise photometry to a common system. We used the S3 package (C. Inserra et al. 2018) and found that such correction is an order of magnitude lower than the telescope photometric uncertainties. Imaging was taken with the Rapid Eye Mount (REM) telescope (S. Covino et al. 2002). The Near-infrared (NIR) imaging in the  $J$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$  bands were taken with the REMIR instrument. Optical  $V$ -,  $R$ -, and  $I$ -frames were taken with the ROS2 instrument. Data from the Gravitational-wave Optical Transient Observer (GOTO; D. Steeghs et al. 2022a) were obtained as part of regular all-sky surveying. Image reduction and calibration was performed in real-time using the GOTO transient pipeline. Forced aperture photometry at the position of SN 2024abvb was performed to recover the final GOTO light-curve.

All CCD data were processed within the IRAF<sup>1</sup> environment. Standard reduction steps including overscan correction, bias subtraction, flat-fielding and trimming were applied, and SN photometry was extracted via point-spread function fitting on the final reduced frames.

Ultraviolet observations were obtained with the Neil Gehrels *Swift* Observatory's Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (UVOT; PI: Farias) through the  $uvw2$ ,  $uvm2$ ,  $uvw1$ ,  $u$ ,  $b$ , and  $v$  filters. These data were calibrated to the Vega system and reprocessed independently using a custom pipeline based on the HEASARC software suite.

To further supplement our proprietary measurements, we incorporated archival photometry from several time-domain surveys. These include the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF;  $g$ ,  $r$  filters; E. C. Bellm et al. 2019), Pan-STARRS1 ( $w$  filter; K. C. Chambers et al. 2019), the BlackGEM array ( $q$  filter; P. J. Groot et al. 2024), the Gravitational-wave Optical Transient Observer (GOTO;  $L$  filter; D. Steeghs et al. 2022b; M. J. Dyer et al. 2024) and the ATLAS survey (cyan and orange filters; J. L. Tonry et al. 2018). Non-public Pan-STARRS1 measurements were obtained under prior collaboration agreements. Final magnitude measurements from these surveys were retrieved using their data pipelines (E. Magnier 2006; E. A. Magnier et al. 2020).

## 2.2 Spectroscopy

Nine epochs of spectroscopic data were acquired with the EFOSC2 instrument mounted on the ESO New Technology Telescope (NTT) as part of the ePESSTO + collaboration. We obtained low-resolution spectra using grisms 11 and 16, while medium-resolution observations were secured with grism 18 (see Table A1 for the full observation log, including wavelength coverage and

resolving powers). The raw frames were processed and calibrated using the Public ESO Spectroscopic Survey of Transient Objects (PESSTO) pipeline<sup>2</sup> (S. J. Smartt et al. 2015), which performs bias subtraction, flat-field correction, wavelength calibration and flux calibration in a uniform and reproducible manner. All ePESSTO + spectra will be available through the ESO Science Archive Facility as standard phase 3 ESO products. All spectra are available on WISeREP<sup>3</sup> (O. Yaron & A. Gal-Yam 2012).

## 3 CHARACTERIZING SN 2024ABVB IN THE CONTEXT OF INTERACTING SUPERNOVAE

In this section, we compare photometry and spectra of SN 2024abvb to other SNe, chosen based on the fact that are well sampled and studied SNe. The chosen comparison Type Icn are reported above in Section 1 while the others are: SN 2010al (Type Ibn, A. Pastorello et al. 2015); SN 2023emq (Type Ibn/Icn, M. Pursiainen et al. 2023) and iPTF14aki (Type Ibn, G. Hosseinzadeh et al. 2017).

### 3.1 Light-curve

To determine the epoch of maximum light, we first fitted the ATLAS  $c$ -band light-curve, chosen for its dense temporal sampling from first detection through peak, using a 1D Gaussian process (GP) regression implemented in the GEORGE package (S. Ambikasaran et al. 2015) with an exponential-squared kernel. Initial kernel hyperparameters were optimized via SCIPY minimization, after which we employed the EMCEE Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampler (D. Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to sample from the posterior distribution of the GP model parameters. The MCMC-refined GP fit closely matches the initial optimization, this can be seen in Fig. 2. For completeness, we also applied an analogous GP fit to the bolometric light-curve, retrieving a similar result. From these analyses, we adopt MJD  $60645.13 \pm 0.4$  as the time of maximum light (defined as epoch 0). The explosion epoch was estimated as the midpoint between the first  $w$ -band detection and the preceding  $o$ -band non-detection at 0.3 mag deeper, yielding MJD  $60635.45 \pm 1.1$ .


Using the MCMC-optimized GP model, we computed rise times from explosion to maximum light and 20-d decline rates in each filter. The rise time,  $t_{\text{rise}}$ , is defined as the interval between the explosion epoch and the GP-determined peak MJD. Decline rates ( $\Delta m_{20}$ ) were measured as the difference in magnitude between peak and 20 d post-peak. In the  $V$  band, we find  $\Delta m_{20} = 2.6 \pm 0.3$  mag, whereas in the  $i$ -band  $\Delta m_{20} = 1.1 \pm 0.3$  mag. The slower decline in redder bands is not unusual in CCSNe and even more so in interacting SNe, as it suggests reprocessing of high-energy (X-ray/UV) photons into optical wavelengths (e.g. R. A. Chevalier & C. Fransson 1994).

Fig. 3 displays the UV–NIR light curves of SN 2024abvb. The  $g$ -band rise time of  $10.1 \pm 0.3$  d is notably longer than the  $\lesssim 5.2$  d values typical of other Type Icn events (table 2; C. Pellegrino et al. 2022; D. A. Perley et al. 2022; T. Nagao et al. 2023). Similarly, the ATLAS  $o$ -band rise time of  $10.5 \pm 0.4$  d slightly exceeds the  $\sim 8$  d measured for SN 2022ann (K. W. Davis et al. 2023). Across all bands, we observe approximately linear declines in magnitude,

<sup>1</sup>The Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF) is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, operated by AURA under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/svalenti/pessto>

<sup>3</sup><https://www.wiserep.org>



**Figure 2.** The SCIPY and EMCEE optimized fit for the *c*-band data.

with the steepest fades in the UV and blue optical filters and the gentlest in the NIR.

In Fig. 4, we compare the *r*-band light-curve of SN 2024abvb with those of well-sampled interacting SNe in the same time frame of SN 2024abvb: SNe 2019hgp (Type Icn), 2022ann (Type Icn), and 2010al (Type Ibn). SN 2024abvb reaches peak *r*-band light ( $M_r \approx -19.4$ ) at  $12.1 \pm 0.3$  d and shows a  $\Delta m_{20}(r) = 1.6 \pm 0.3$  mag. For reference, SN 2019hgp exhibits a similar  $\Delta m_{20}(r) = 1.6 \pm 0.2$  mag but peaks at a lower luminosity ( $M_r \approx -18.6$ ), whereas the prototypical Type Ibn SN 2010al fades more slowly, with  $\Delta m_{20}(r) = 1.4 \pm 0.2$  mag. SN 2022ann displays a two-stage decline: an initial slow phase ( $\sim 0.2$  mag per 20 d) followed by a rapid drop ( $\sim 2.0$  mag per 20 days), indicative of interaction with a denser CSM. SN 2019hgp has a low-mass CSM environment ( $0.2 M_\odot$ ; A. Gal-Yam et al. 2022). Assuming SN 2024abvb light-curve is dominated by the CSM interaction, the similarity of its decline to that of SN 2019hgp could suggest a comparable low-mass CSM environment for SN 2024abvb and progenitor scenario. However, SN 2024abvb also has a higher peak luminosity compared to SN 2019hgp ( $M_r \approx -19.2$  mag), consistent with a somewhat more efficient radiative conversion (R. A. Chevalier & C. Fransson 2017).

### 3.2 Bolometric light-curve

Bolometric luminosities were derived by first converting the extinction-corrected broad-band magnitudes (Section 3.1) into monochromatic fluxes at each filter’s effective wavelength. A spectral energy distribution (SED) was then assembled over the observed wavelength range, and the integrated flux,  $F_{\text{bol}}$ , was computed under the assumption of negligible contribution beyond the integration limits. Luminosities were obtained via

$$L_{\text{bol}} = 4\pi D^2 F_{\text{bol}},$$

where  $D$  is the previously determined distance to SN 2024abvb (Section 1).

Pseudo-bolometric points were initially calculated for epochs with concurrent coverage in at least four optical bands; for epochs with fewer than four filters, missing fluxes were estimated by low-order ( $n \leq 3$ ) polynomial interpolation of adjacent light-curve data. When interpolation was not possible, magnitudes were extrapolated by assuming constant colours between the nearest epochs.

The resulting bolometric light-curve (Fig. 5) reaches a peak luminosity of  $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/\text{erg s}^{-1}) = 43.7$  in approximately 10 d, followed by a nearly linear decline over the subsequent  $\sim 30$  d. In the second panel of Fig. 5, we show the blackbody temperature,  $T_{\text{BB}}$ , inferred from SED fits.  $T_{\text{BB}}$  attains a maximum of roughly 14 000 K, remains on a quasi-plateau, then decreases rapidly before settling at around 6400 K around 20 d post-peak. These temperatures are substantially lower than those reported for SNe 2022ann and 2019hgp, which peaked near 25 000 and 30 000 K, respectively.

The third panel of Fig. 5 presents the photospheric radius

$$R_{\text{ph}} = \sqrt{\frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{4\pi\sigma T_{\text{eff}}^4}},$$

where  $\sigma$  is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant.  $R_{\text{ph}}$  increases to a maximum of  $\sim 2.1 \times 10^{15}$  cm at  $\sim 6$  d after peak, then declines slowly and plateaus at  $\sim 0.65 \times 10^{15}$  cm by  $\sim 25$  d post-peak. Overall, the estimated radius of SN 2024abvb is larger than that showcased by SN 2019hgp, but at a size not unusual for SNe (e.g. D. Kasen & S. E. Woosley 2009; L. Dessart, D. J. Hillier & H. Kuncarayakti 2022; R. Chiba & T. J. Moriya 2024).

The bottom panel of Fig. 5 shows the fractional flux contributions from the ultraviolet (UV), optical and near-infrared (NIR) regimes. Initially, the UV accounts for  $\sim 53$  per cent of  $F_{\text{bol}}$  and the optical for  $\sim 29$  per cent, with the NIR contributing  $\sim 17$  per cent. Over the first two days post-maximum, the optical fraction rises to match the UV at  $\sim 43$  per cent, consistent with high-energy photon reprocessing by circumstellar material (CSM). The NIR fraction decreases to  $\sim 12$  per cent in the early decline, then increases slightly as the optical component plateaus, ultimately stabilizing just above its initial level. Within our measurement uncertainties, the NIR contribution remains effectively constant, implying that any dust formation either is minimal or occurs at epochs later than our final NIR observations (S. Mattila et al. 2008).


### 3.3 Spectral evolution and line measurements

Fig. 6 displays the spectral evolution of SN 2024abvb, spanning from three days before maximum light to approximately one month thereafter. Prominent transitions of C II and O II are marked alongside the tentative detections of H I, He I and He II. The continua are consistent with the photospheric temperatures derived from our blackbody fits.

To quantify the kinematic and flux properties of individual lines, we fitted Gaussian profiles using the LMFIT package<sup>4</sup> (M. Newville et al. 2014). For the C II  $\lambda 5890$  feature, a composite model comprising a central Lorentzian (narrow emission) plus a broader Gaussian component was employed to capture both the line core and extended wings.

In the four low-resolution spectra obtained near peak brightness, the continuum is blue and several narrow P-Cygni profiles are evident, with C II  $\lambda 5890$  dominating in emission. At early epochs (0–4 d relative to peak), this line exhibits a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of  $\sim 41$  Å, corresponding to a velocity of  $\sim 2100$  km s<sup>−1</sup>, and an integrated flux of  $1.0 \times 10^{-16}$  erg s<sup>−1</sup> cm<sup>−2</sup>. By 6 d post-peak, the FWHM decreases to  $\sim 32$  Å (velocity  $\sim 1600$  km s<sup>−1</sup>) with a flux of  $8.8 \times$

<sup>4</sup>LMFIT is a non-linear least-squares minimization and curve-fitting library for Python.



**Figure 3.** Light-curves of SN 2024abvb from AFOSC, ATLAS, Pan-STARRS, LCO, NTT, Swift, NOT, ZTF, REM, TTT, Moravian, BlackGem, and GOTO for all available bands from the UV–NIR. The phase is given relative to the  $V$ -band maximum. The legend indicates which shape and colour is associated with specific bands. Non-detections are shown with downward arrows. The rise times and decline rates for each band can be seen in Table 2. The vertical black lines indicate the epochs when spectra were taken. The  $L$  and  $q$  bands refer to GOTO and BlackGem bands, respectively. The figure is not extinction corrected.  $griz$  are AB magnitudes, as are those of the surveys ATLAS, PS1 and GOTO.  $UBVJHK$  are Vega magnitudes.

**Table 2.** Comparison of rise-times and half decline rates in the  $g$  band for SN 2024abvb and all Type Icn SNe where they were measurable. We see that the  $g$ -band rise time for SN 2024abvb is much higher than for other Type Icn SNe, while the half decline rate is comparable.

SN	Rise time (d)	Half decline rate (d)
2024abvb	$10.1 \pm 0.3$	$7.6 \pm 0.3$
2019jc	$3.2 \pm 0.1^a$	$3.1 \pm 0.1^a$
2019hgp	$5.2 \pm 0.2^a$	$8.0 \pm 0.2^a$
2021csp	$1.8\text{--}4.0^b$	$9.1 \pm 0.8^b$
2021ckj	$3.3 \pm 0.2^c$	$4.7 \pm 0.2^c$

Notes. <sup>a</sup> C. Pellegrino et al. (2022)


<sup>b</sup> D. A. Perley et al. (2022)

<sup>c</sup> T. Nagao et al. (2023)

$10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Beyond 20 d, the continuum flattens, likely due to metal-line blanketing below  $4700 \text{ \AA}$ , and C II  $\lambda 5890$  evolves into a P-Cygni profile with FWHM  $\sim 28 \text{ \AA}$  (velocity  $\sim 1400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and flux  $2.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ .

Medium-resolution spectra (grism #18 at the NTT + EFOSC2; Table A1) enable a detailed view of the  $4600\text{--}6500 \text{ \AA}$  region (Fig. 7). Here, C II  $\lambda 5890$  has FWHM  $32.7 \text{ \AA}$  (velocity  $\sim 1600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and flux  $1.5 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  at peak, evolving to FWHM  $29.1 \text{ \AA}$  (velocity  $\sim 1400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and flux  $7.6 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  by +6 d. And at  $\sim 30$  d FWHM  $16.8 \text{ \AA}$  (velocity  $\sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and flux  $2.88 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . All FWHM measurements are above our spectra resolution (see Table A1). A weaker feature at  $\sim 5876 \text{ \AA}$ , present until +4 d, is consistent with being He I  $\lambda 5876$ . The red wing of C II  $\lambda 5890$  exhibits a broad shoulder which, as mentioned above, can be due to metal-line blanketing in the blue part or suggestive of asymmetric CSM.

O II  $\lambda 4651$  is detected in low-resolution spectra prior to +20 d, with an early FWHM of  $9.3 \text{ \AA}$  (flux  $1.2 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ) broadening to  $26.0 \text{ \AA}$  (flux  $9.4 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ) by +6 d; it is absent at later epochs. In contrast, C II  $\lambda 5890$  persists, switching to a P-Cygni morphology in both low- and medium-resolution spectra after +20 d (FWHM  $19.4\text{--}27.8 \text{ \AA}$ , flux  $\sim 4.0 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ).




**Figure 4.** Comparison photometry in the  $r$  band (absolute magnitude) for SN 2024abvb, the Type Ibn (SN 2010al; A. Pastorello et al. 2015) and two other Type Icn (SNe 2022ann and 2019hgp; K. W. Davis et al. 2023).

Additional features, including H I  $\lambda 4861$ , O II  $\lambda 4651$ , and Fe II  $\lambda 5363$ , are discernible in medium-resolution data, with clear P-Cygni profiles persisting at late times.

### 3.4 Spectral comparison with other Type Ibn, Type Icn and transitional events

Fig. 8 displays the EFOSC2 spectra of SN 2024abvb at epochs near maximum light and approximately one month later, compared with those of SNe 2018fmt, 2019jc, 2021csp, 2023emq (M. Pursiainen et al. 2023), iPTF14aki and 2022ann. Comparison SNe were chosen based on having spectra covering from peak epoch to roughly a month later in their evolution. The sample includes well studied Type Ibn events (SNe 2018fmt and iPTF14aki), canonical Type Icn events (SNe 2019jc and 2021csp) and recognized transitional Type Ibn/Icn objects (SN 2023emq), chosen to assess whether SN 2024abvb exhibits intermediate characteristics.


At early times, SN 2024abvb exhibits a notably blue continuum, closely matching the continua of SNe 2021csp and 2023emq, remaining bluer than those of the other Type Ibn and Type Icn comparisons. The persistence of this blue slope, also evident in the minimal  $B$ -band decline of  $\Delta m(B) \approx 0.16$  mag between the first and third spectra, suggests more efficient conversion of high-



**Figure 5.** The top panel shows the bolometric light-curve. The second panel shows the estimated temperature derived from the black-body fits. The third panel shows the photospheric radius. The bottom panel shows the contribution of each part of the electromagnetic spectrum over time (UV:  $uvw2$ ,  $uvm2$ ,  $uvw1$ . Optical:  $u$ ,  $B$ ,  $g$ ,  $V$ ,  $c$ ,  $r$ ,  $o$ ,  $i$ ,  $z$ . NIR:  $J$ ,  $H$ ,  $K$ ). Each panel, besides the last, shows a comparison between SNe 2024abvb and 2019hgp (A. Gal-Yam et al. 2022). SN 2019hgp is the closest photometric analogue, therefore we use this for comparison.

energy (X-ray/UV) photons into optical wavelengths than in typical Type Icn events. We note that this is at odds with what is observed in the temperature evolution. In contrast, SNe 2019jc and 2021csp display a pseudo-continuum dominated by blended emission lines (e.g. A. Gal-Yam et al. 2022), which is not apparent in SN 2024abvb. Although Fig. 8 hints at a weak pseudo-continuum between 4600 and 6000 Å in SN 2024abvb, this feature remains ambiguous.

In the bottom panels of Fig. 8, SN 2019hgp, the closest photometric analogue to SN 2024abvb, exhibits broader and faster line profiles and displays intermediate-mass element features such as Mg I, which are absent in SN 2024abvb. Moreover, oxygen emission lines in SN 2019hgp are considerably stronger, and its late-time spectrum develops the characteristic iron pseudo-continuum common to many Type Icn (and interacting) events. SN 2021csp, similarly to SN 2019hgp, shows an Fe pseudo-continuum but otherwise shows very few emission or absorption features. SN 2022ann shows a much flatter continuum than the other three Type Icn presented, but similar to SN 2021csp it shows very few prominent absorption and emission features. This is in



**Figure 6.** **Left panel:** All low-resolution spectra (see Table A1), corrected for redshift and reddening, for SN 2024abvb taken between  $-3.23$  and  $27.90$  d relative to  $V$ -band maximum brightness. The C II, H I, He I, He II, O II, N II and Fe II lines are marked. We see some telluric contamination; however, it leaves the spectral lines mostly unaffected. The cross in this figure shows the telluric lines. **Right panel:** All medium resolution spectra (see Table A1), corrected for redshift and reddening, for SN 2024abvb taken between  $-2.05$  and  $28.90$  d relative to  $V$ -band maximum brightness. In all instances, multicomponent decomposition was fully resolved, as were the subsequent P-Cygni profiles.

stark contrast to SNe 2024abvb and 2019hgp, which both display prominent features in pure emission. The one transitional SN Type Ibn/Icn we present shows an interesting blueward feature, similar to that of SN 2024abvb; however, on further inspection, this is more likely due to an Fe pseudo-continuum as seen in other Type Icn SNe. Such a continuum seems lacking in SN 2024abvb where we see a sharp decrease at  $\sim 4500$   $\text{\AA}$ , implying this feature is formed through an alternative mechanism. We observe that iPTF14aki shows a flatter continuum with characteristically broad Helium lines typically seen in late-time Type Ibn spectra (G. Hosseinzadeh et al. 2017). This, along with the other features noted in SN 2024abvb, gives strong evidence that SN 2024abvb is inconsistent with a Type Ibn SN classification.


The close temporal comparison reveals that SN 2019hgp has elevated flux compared to SN 2024abvb, both blueward of  $4600$   $\text{\AA}$  and redward of  $6200$   $\text{\AA}$ , whereas between these wavelengths ( $4600$ – $6200$   $\text{\AA}$ ) the fluxes of the two SNe are broadly comparable, with both displaying a flux enhancement near  $6000$   $\text{\AA}$ . These differences reinforce the classification of SN 2024abvb as a transi-

tional Type Icn/Ibn object with a relatively low-mass, carbon-rich CSM.

### 3.5 Expansion velocities of the CSM

We derived the expansion velocity of the carbon-rich CSM from C II  $\lambda 5890$ . For epochs up to  $\sim 10$  d after maximum light, we measured the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the Gaussian fits, while at later times we determined velocities from the minima of the P-Cygni absorption troughs. The resulting velocity evolution is plotted in Fig. 9. Differences between low- and medium-resolution measurements are attributable to instrumental resolving power.

As mentioned above, He I  $\lambda 5876$  is also present and alters the overall profile of the C II line, as such we use our medium resolution spectra measurements as a more accurate diagnostic. At early epochs, the C II line exhibits velocities of  $\sim 1500$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$ , declining smoothly to  $\sim 800$   $\text{km s}^{-1}$  by the final measurement. This smooth decline is observed in both the low- and



**Figure 7.** The same spectra are shown here as in Fig. 6; however, this is a zoom-in on the most prominent spectral feature, C II  $\lambda$ 5890 Å, with medium resolution spectra (see Table A1).

medium-resolution spectra, with  $\Delta V_{20\text{-low}} \sim 660 \pm 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $\Delta V_{20\text{-med}} \sim 490 \pm 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , respectively. In both cases, the minimum measured values remain above the instrumental resolution ( $460 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for gr18 and  $870 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for gr11), although the final velocity point in the medium-resolution data lies close to this threshold. The final measurement conflicts with early WC and canonical WO wind speeds of  $> 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (L. F. Smith & L. H. Aller 1971; A. A. C. Sander et al. 2019). However, canonical wind speeds for WN and late type WC9 stars are lower at  $v_{\text{wind}} > 650$  and  $\sim 450 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , respectively (L. F. Smith & L. H. Aller 1971; W.-R. Hamann et al. 2019). This suggests that the CSM may have been produced by a discrete eruption, by binary interaction, by a WN star in a binary system or by a WC9 star with emission transitioning from lower density CSM (higher velocity lines) to higher density inner regions (lower velocity lines). In the eruptive scenario, the required mass-loss rate would be sufficiently high to dominate the circumstellar density, whereas a sustained WR wind alone would be less likely to generate the observed density profile; this interpretation is in line with N. Smith (2017).


Comparable velocities have been reported for other interacting SNe. For example, SN 2019hgp displayed C III  $\lambda$ 4650 velocities in excess of  $1500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , consistent with WR progenitors but quoted as a lower limit due to spectral resolution (A. Gal-Yam et al. 2022). Conversely, SN 2022ann exhibited expansion speeds of  $\sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . K. W. Davis et al. (2023) favour a binary scenario given the line driven wind speeds are below early WC and WO wind speeds (A. A. C. Sander et al. 2019). The close agreement between the velocity of SN 2022ann and our late-time measurement for SN 2024abvb supports a binary progenitor system and is therefore inconsistent with a single early WC or WO star progenitor. This suggests that the progenitor scenario is either a WN star, a late type WC9 star or more complex than a single WR star.

#### 4 HOST ANALYSIS

From optical images, SN 2024abvb appeared to be far from any potential host and possibly hostless, with the nearest galaxy located  $0.464 \text{ arcmin}$  ( $21.5 \text{ kpc}$ ) S/W of the SN (Fig. 1). To determine whether the S/W galaxy is the host, we obtained a combination of Gr11 and Gr16 spectra. The slit was centered on the nucleus and covered the majority of the host. This translates to a mostly global spectra but was only used for determining the redshift.

Unfortunately, due to environmental conditions, the Gr11 spectrum had  $S/N \leq 3$  and was therefore unusable. The Gr16 spectrum (upper panel of Fig. 10) also has low  $S/N$ , however, features were visible. A redshift of  $z = 0.039$ , the same value as identified for SN 2024abvb through spectral comparison, appears to confirm this galaxy as the likely host, as  $H\alpha$  is detected at the corresponding wavelength.

To retrieve the host galaxy properties, *griz* and mid-infrared *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE)*  $W1$ ,  $W2$  &  $W3$  magnitudes were taken from the Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI) Legacy Imaging Surveys (LIS; A. Dey et al. 2019), Data Release 10. The  $W4$  was also available but was excluded as that is sensitive to AGN dust emission and we do not implement for such a correction in our simple models, meaning  $W4$  would have a poor fit. We also include *JHKs* data from the Vista Hemisphere Survey Data Release 7 (VHS DR7), this survey uses the Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA) telescope (J. Emerson, A. McPherson & W. Sutherland 2006) using the VISTA infrared camera (G. B. Dalton et al. 2006). The LIS data were then




**Figure 8.** SN 2024abvb spectra compared to other Type Ibn, Type Icn and transitional Type Ibn/Icn SNe. Both panels have dashed lines to depict different elements: C II–; C III–; C IV–; He I–; **Top panel:** Early time ( $\sim 8$  d) spectra comparison for SN 2024abvb, SN 2018fmt, SN 2019jc, SN 2021csp, and SN 2023emq. The specific colours and phase times for each SN are shown in the legend. The phase is with respect to the explosion time as we did not have access to photometric data for the comparison SNe. **Bottom panel:** Late time ( $\sim 29$  d) spectra comparison for SN 2024abvb, SN 2021csp, iPTF14aki, SN 2022ann, SN 2023emq and SN 2019hgp.

input into PROSPECTOR<sup>5</sup> (B. D. Johnson et al. 2021) to estimate the host parameters such as stellar mass and star formation rate (SFR). We used the following Prospector parameters: Star formation history is a delayed Tau model; Initial mass function (IMF) is the Chabrier IMF model (G. Chabrier 2003); dust type is a MW extinction model (J. A. Cardelli, G. C. Clayton & J. S. Mathis 1989);  $\log(Z/Z_{\odot})$  and age are uniform functions;  $\log(\tau)$  and  $\log(M/M_{\odot})$  are log uniform functions.


The bottom panel of Fig. 10 presents a best-fitting model for *grizy*, *JHKs*, and *W1–W3* bands given by PROSPECTOR. The model provides useful insight into the galaxy’s parameters, and has a  $\chi^2 = 0.025$  which is mainly driven by the optical bands that have lower uncertainties than the near and mid infrared ones. We note that NIR flux is sensitive to intermediate-age stars (0.5–2 Gyr), also known as Thermally Pulsing AGB (TP-AGB) stars,

and differences of 0.5 dex in JHK from stellar population synthesis models have been widely reported (C. Conroy, J. E. Gunn & M. White 2009). This difference is enhanced by the choice of a parametric star formation history (SFH). The Prospector model outputs are  $\log(M/M_{\odot}) = 10.33^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$ ,  $\log(Z/Z_{\odot}) = -1.68^{+0.16}_{-0.19}$ ,  $\log(\tau) = -0.36^{+0.43}_{-1.07}$ , Age (Gyr) =  $6.73^{+4.41}_{-2.39}$ ,  $\log(\text{SFR})M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1} = -5.87^{+23.5}_{-13.9}$  and presented in the bottom panel of Fig. 10. The results imply a host galaxy of low mass, slightly above the dwarf galaxy range (M. Geha et al. 2012), and well below larger galaxies such as the Milky Way ( $\sim 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ ; L. L. Watkins et al. 2019). These galaxies tend to have a lower metallicity and age A. Gallazzi et al. (2005). This is consistent with our results and suggests that the host is likely a young, low-mass, and low-metallicity galaxy. However, because a parametric SFH was adopted, these results should be interpreted as indicative rather than definitive, since a non-parametric SFH would provide a more robust constraint. The peak SFR occurs at  $t_{\text{peak}} = \tau \sim 0.28$  Gyr, suggesting that SFR was very high at early time and then decreased, which is consistent with old stellar population. However, such a result mathemati-

<sup>5</sup>PROSPECTOR is a package to conduct principled inference of stellar population properties from photometric and/or spectroscopic data using flexible models.



**Figure 9.** C II 5890 Å comparison velocities for low and medium resolution spectra. The first four epochs were measured using the emission component FWHM, while the remaining two were measured from P-Cygni minima. The dashed line depicts the best-fitting while the shaded regions display the  $1\sigma$  confidence intervals.



**Figure 10.** **Top panel:** Host spectrum with galaxy lines ( $H\alpha$  indicated in red, Na I, N II and S II are indicated in black) at the assumed redshift of  $z = 0.039$ . **Bottom panel:** Best-fitting SED obtained from PROSPECTOR. The black points are the LIS *griz*, *W1*, *W2*, and *W3* and VHS *JHKs* data points with error bars. The blue boxes and line are the fitted SED and data points. This fit has a reduced  $\chi^2 = 0.025$ .

cally forces the instantaneous SFR at the present epoch to be close to zero ( $\sim 10^{-6}$ ). Because delayed- $\tau$  models enforce a monotonic decline in star formation, we caution from over-interpreting the instantaneous SFR derived from this parametrization.

The separation of SN 2024abvb from its presumed host also appears atypical compared to other Type Icn SNe, which are all located within their host galaxies (A. Gal-Yam et al. 2022; C. Pellegrino et al. 2022; D. A. Perley et al. 2022; K. W. Davis et al. 2023; T. Nagao et al. 2023). However, there are known Type Ibn SNe such as, PS1-12sk (G. Hosseinzadeh et al. 2019), with a large host separation (28.1 kpc). Due to the large separation, we investigate the possibility that SN 2024abvb is hosted by a dwarf


satellite galaxy that is gravitationally bound to the host, similarly to the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC). LIS deep imaging reports depths of  $m_g = 25.08$ ,  $m_r = 25.41$ ,  $m_i = 26.86$  and  $m_z = 23.33$ . A. Doliva-Dolinsky et al. (2025) find that NGC3109, an SMC-like dwarf galaxy has  $M_V \sim 14.9$ . This can be roughly converted to  $g$  via  $g = V + 0.60(B - V) - 0.12$  where  $(B - V) \sim 0.5$  (M. E. Sharina 2019). This gives  $M_g \sim -15.1$  which when converted to *riz* gives:  $M_r \sim -15.0$ ,  $M_i \sim -14.9$ , and  $M_z \sim -14.8$  which correspond to  $m_g \sim 21.08$ ,  $m_r \sim 21.18$ ,  $m_i \sim 21.28$  and  $m_z \sim 21.38$  at  $D_L = 172.2$  Mpc (the SN and host distance). Thus, if a SMC like satellite was the host of SN 2024abvb, we would have detected it within the deep LIS imaging, effectively ruling out this possibility.

## 5 DISCUSSION

SN 2024abvb appears to be a peculiar event for several reasons: its offset from the host galaxy is atypical for its class (21.5 kpc); it shows C II  $\lambda 5890$  and He I  $\lambda 5876$  in the spectra, with a velocity decrease from  $\sim 1500$  to  $\sim 800$  km s $^{-1}$ ; the unusually low ionization state of carbon or any other CNO element; a blackbody temperature up to a factor of 2 lower than that of other interacting Type Ibn/Icn SNe during the first month of evolution.

The spectroscopic and photometric evolution of SN 2024abvb clearly indicates the presence of a dense CSM surrounding the progenitor. From the blackbody fit to the SED (see Section 3.2) and the bolometric luminosity, the peak radius is  $2.1 \times 10^{15}$  cm, approximately  $10^3$  times larger than that of a WR star (R. Hainich et al. 2014), suggesting that the CSM is not gravitationally bound to the star. Following the formalism of N. Smith & R. McCray (2007), the peak luminosity ( $L_{\max}$ ) produced by the ejecta-CSM shock scales with the CSM shell mass, the pseudo-photospheric velocity ( $v_{\text{ph}}$ ), and the rise time ( $t_{\max}$ ). Using  $v_{\text{ph}} = 1500$  km s $^{-1}$  (Section 3.5),  $t_{\max} = 10.1$  d (Section 3.1), and  $L_{\max} = 2.9 \times 10^{43}$  erg s $^{-1}$  (Section 3.2), we infer a CSM mass of roughly  $1.7 M_{\odot}$ . Assuming a single-progenitor scenario, the aforementioned radius and a constant CSM velocity of  $1500$  km s $^{-1}$ , consistent with carbon-rich WR winds, the mass loss would have begun approximately 0.5 yr before the explosion. However, this would imply an enormous mass-loss rate ( $\sim 3.4 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ), which has never been observed/derived for a WR. As a consequence, it is unlikely that the progenitor scenario involves a single WR star losing mass via wind.

To reproduce the bolometric light-curve of SN 2024abvb under these assumptions, we employed a semi-analytical model based on E. Chatzopoulos & J. C. Wheeler (2012). We investigated both shell and wind CSM configurations, finding that the shell model provides a better overall fit (lower  $\chi^2$ ). We allowed for a contribution from  $^{56}\text{Ni}$  to the luminosity. The inner and outer power-law slopes of the SN ejecta density profile were fixed to  $\delta = 2$  and  $n = 9$ , respectively. We adopted Thomson scattering as the dominant opacity source and assumed a helium-poor composition, giving  $\kappa = 0.10$  cm $^2$  g $^{-1}$ . We allowed for Ni $^{56}$  to contribute to the bolometric light-curve fitting, but found that the best-fitting models required no Ni $^{56}$  contribution. Our best-fitting model, shown in the top panel of Fig. 11, yields the following parameters:  $M_{\text{ej}} = 3.9 M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_{\text{CSM}} = 0.49 M_{\odot}$ , and  $\text{KE} = 0.3 \times 10^{51}$  erg. The model reproduces the bolometric light-curve relatively well ( $\chi^2 = 4.16$ ). The retrieved system properties point to a relatively low CSM mass, consistent with the photometric comparison in Fig. 4. The absence of Ni $^{56}$  suggests that the luminosity is dominated by ejecta-CSM interaction rather than radioactive heating. Addi-



**Figure 11.** Bolometric light-curves with CSM modelling. **Top Panel:** Bolometric light-curves with a bolometric CSM model. We see a good fit ( $\chi^2 = 4.16$ ) of this model with our bolometric data. **Bottom Panel:** Blackbody derived temperature and the CSM model.

tionally, we used the MOSFIT<sup>6</sup> (The Modular Open Source Fitter for Transients) PYTHON package to fit the bolometric light-curve and its uncertainties. The model assumed a Type Ic SN with a CSM contribution and  $\kappa = 0.10 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . The best fit (Figs A1 and A2) returned a  $M_{\text{CSM}} = 0.21 M_{\odot}$  and a  $M_{\text{ej}} = 0.43 M_{\odot}$  albeit with a much higher  $\chi^2_{\text{reduced}} = 175.2$  than the above semi-analytical model despite using the same formalism of E. Chatzopoulos & J. C. Wheeler (2012).

Fig. 11 (bottom panel) shows a significant discrepancy between the temperature derived from blackbody fits and that predicted by the best-fitting CSM model. The temperature evolution for SN 2024abvb is also low when compared to SN 2019hgp (Fig. 5), indicating that SN 2024abvb exhibits intrinsically lower temperatures than other interacting Type Ibn/Icn SNe.

The large projected distance of SN 2024abvb from its presumed host suggests two possible explanations. It could have been a long-lived star that was dynamically ejected (H. B. Perets 2008; G. C. Jordan et al. 2012; A. Irrgang, S. Kreuzer & U. Heber 2018). Alternatively, it is possible for local high-SFR to be present within a low-SFR galaxy (E. Vázquez-Semadeni et al. 2009), thus a short-

lived progenitor that formed in situ is also possible. Because the spectra are dominated by CSM-interaction signatures, the underlying SN cannot be directly constrained spectroscopically.


For completeness, before discussing the most compelling scenarios, we briefly address the possibility of a Type Ia SN being the underlying cause of SN 2024abvb. Type Ia events are substantially less luminous than Type Ia SNe (C. McCully et al. 2014), and are the only thermonuclear event with a lower luminosity than SN 2024abvb at all epochs. In Type Ia events, carbon typically appears in absorption (R. J. Foley et al. 2013) rather than emission, implying relatively cool material far from the progenitor system. Type Ia SNe can exhibit carbon in their spectra, as their progenitors are C/O white dwarfs and some carbon may remain unburned during the explosion (S. Jha et al. 2006). This is inconsistent with the dense, carbon-rich CSM located in close proximity to the progenitor inferred for SN 2024abvb. Finally, merger events between two white dwarfs, particularly ONE + CO mergers as discussed by C. Wu et al. (2024), remain a plausible channel. Such mergers can produce bolometric light-curves resembling those of Type Icn SNe such as SN 2019jc and SN 2021csp, and therefore could potentially explain SN 2024abvb. However, such a scenario should lead to an event somehow similar to SN 2005E (H. B. Perets et al. 2010) exhibiting calcium-rich spectral features. If SN 2024abvb arose from a similar channel we would likewise expect calcium lines to be present. The absence of calcium features in the spectra of SN 2024abvb does not obviously support this progenitor scenario.

### 5.1 SN 2024abvb as a rare massive star in a region with a very low star formation rate

Fig. 12 demonstrates that it is, in principle, possible to obscure a Type Ic SN beneath the light-curve of SN 2024abvb. The initial velocity of the C II  $\lambda 5890$  line,  $\sim 1500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , is compatible with typical WR wind speeds. However, the subsequent decrease in velocity is difficult to explain in a scenario where the CSM is created by a constant wind, as unshocked wind material should not decelerate on the observed time-scale (e.g. W.-R. Hamann et al. 2019; A. A. C. Sander et al. 2019). It is worth mentioning that a decrease in WR wind speed has been observed in WC9 stars, and explained via a line emission region transitioning from outer, lower density regions, to higher density regions, resulting in lower line velocities (L. F. Smith & L. H. Aller 1971). However, such interpretation would not explain the weak He I, as WC9 stars typically show strong He I in their spectra, roughly equivalent to C II in intensity (A. V. Torres & P. S. Conti 1984). This behaviour instead suggests that the CSM may have been intrinsically slower and that we never observed the unshocked component. Under this interpretation, a single-star progenitor pathway becomes unlikely given the inferred velocities. A binary scenario (P. A. Crowther 2007, e.g.) where one of the stars is a WR, by contrast, remains plausible as it naturally accommodates substantial mass stripping, as well as significant mass loss shortly before explosion. This is broadly consistent with the order-of-magnitude estimates discussed above (see Section 5).

The isolated position of the progenitor could be explained through several channels. One possibility is that the star was a hypervelocity object ( $> 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ; H. B. Perets 2008) ejected from the host galaxy following an interaction with either the central supermassive black hole (SMBH) or an intermediate-mass black hole (IMBH) (H. B. Perets 2008; A. Irrgang et al. 2018). Alternatively, the star may have experienced an asymmetric eruptive

<sup>6</sup>MOSFIT is a PYTHON package for fitting and estimating the parameters of transients via user-contributed transient models.



**Figure 12.** Comparison photometry in the  $r$  band for SN 2024abvb, a Type Iax SN (2020kyg, S. Srivastav et al. 2022) and a Type Ic SN (2007gr, D. J. Hunter et al. 2009).

event that imparted a substantial kick (a few hundred  $\text{km s}^{-1}$ ), displacing it from its natal environment (G. C. Jordan et al. 2012). Although such eruptions are commonly associated with Type Ia progenitors, an asymmetric outburst remains a viable mechanism for a massive star. Assuming that the massive star was ejected through the hypervelocity channel (H. B. Perets 2008,  $\sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), and given a projected offset of 21.5 kpc, the travel time required to reach the explosion site is  $\sim 26.3 \text{ Myr}$ . This is much longer than the expected lifetimes of massive stars, which are  $\sim 5 \text{ Myr}$  (G. Meynet & A. Maeder 2005; P. A. Crowther 2007), rendering this scenario highly unlikely. The minimum projected separation between the SN position and the outermost spiral arm of the host galaxy is  $\sim 10.72 \text{ kpc}$  (0.231 arcmin), corresponding to a travel time of  $\sim 13.1 \text{ Myr}$  which is still more than twice the typical lifetime of stars in this mass range. Furthermore, J. J. Eldridge, N. Langer & C. A. Tout (2011) argue that core-collapse SNe are unlikely to originate from progenitors located more than  $\sim 100 \text{ pc}$  from their birth sites.

Finally, it is possible, albeit rare, that the progenitor simply formed at its current location.

## 5.2 SN 2024abvb as an ultra-stripped supernova

Ultra-stripped SNe (USSNe, T. M. Tauris et al. 2013) arise in binary systems composed of a compact object and a helium star. Such a configuration allows the system to be dynamically ejected

from its host while still providing sufficient time for the helium star to evolve and explode as the observed SN. USSNe proceed through two main channels: iron core-collapse SNe (Fe CCSNe, T. M. Tauris et al. 2017) and electron-capture SNe (EC SNe, K. Nomoto 1987). While Fe CCSNe are essentially conventional core-collapse explosions of compact helium stars, EC SNe occur when the helium core collapses directly to a neutron star due to the loss of electron degeneracy pressure, triggered by electron captures in an ONeMg core. This collapse then produces a thermonuclear-like explosion (T. M. Tauris et al. 2017).

The USSNe scenario naturally explains the presence of CSM. Binary stripping can deposit material in the immediate vicinity of the system with velocities lower than those expected for single WR-star winds. In such cases, stripping of the helium star exposes a nearly bare metal core, potentially accounting for the higher-order elements present in the spectra, which may trace the progenitor’s internal composition. USSNe are also predicted to synthesize little to no  $^{56}\text{Ni}$ , consistent with our bolometric light-curve modelling. In the EC SN channel, the ejecta mass is expected to be very low, which is compatible with the overall fast evolution of SN 2024abvb. However, the predicted ejecta masses for EC SNe ( $\leq 0.2 M_{\odot}$ ; T. M. Tauris, N. Langer & P. Podsiadlowski 2015) are significantly smaller than the value inferred from our modelling.

T. J. Moriya et al. (2025) presented light-curve models for USSNe interacting with CSM, predicting a rapid rise to peak ( $\sim 8 \text{ d}$ ) followed by a relatively slow decline over  $\sim 20 \text{ d}$ . These behaviours closely resemble the bolometric light-curve of SN 2024abvb (Fig. 11). Their models further suggest ejecta energies of  $10^{50} \text{ erg}$ , which align well with our best-fitting parameters and CSM masses of  $\sim 0.2 M_{\odot}$ , again comparable to our results. The primary caveat for adopting this interpretation is the ejecta mass, which in their models ( $\sim 0.06 M_{\odot}$ ) is substantially smaller than the value we infer ( $3.9 M_{\odot}$ ) and only one order of magnitude smaller than the MCMC best fit, albeit retrieved with a high  $\chi^2$  although we infer a much closer value from our MCMC driven light-curves of  $M_{ej} = 0.43 M_{\odot}$  while this is still larger, it is significantly closer in agreement than our previous estimate. We note that M. Hu et al. (2026) report  $M_{ej} = 0.12 M_{\odot}$  from their MOSFIT fit. This discrepancy could arise from differences in the theoretical prescriptions implemented in MOSFIT, from different opacity assumptions, or from differences in the data sets as we have additional broad-band imaging points during the rise and beyond 15 d after maximum light. Their MOSFIT posterior distribution shows a lower  $\chi^2$  than ours, implying a better fit to the dataset. However, such codes assume spherical symmetry for both the ejecta and the CSM, whereas for SN 2024abvb INTEL Collaboration (2026) reported CSM asymmetries of up to 4 per cent. This indicates an additional source of uncertainty in outputs from simple semi-analytical models.

The remaining unresolved aspect across all progenitor scenarios considered is the unusually low observed temperature. This, however, can be explained through alternative processes, such as the formation of a cool/cold dense shell (CDS; N. N. Chugai et al. 2004) with temperatures of  $\sim 10^4 \text{ K}$  at the interface between the ejecta and the CSM. A denser CSM could lead to an optically thick environment in which radiation remains trapped, undergoing adiabatic losses that reduce the effective temperature despite strong instantaneous shock heating (N. N. Chugai et al. 2004; T. K. Nymark, C. Fransson & C. Kozma 2006). Aspherical CSM distributions can produce lower effective temperatures due to an increased radiating area (L. Dessart, E. Audit & D. J. Hillier 2015;

A. Suzuki, T. J. Moriya & T. Takiwaki 2019). Moreover, simulations of supernova explosions interacting with aspherical CSM distributions show that shock heating, density enhancement, and radiative diffusion depend strongly on the CSM geometry (P. Kurfürst, O. Pejcha & J. Krtićka 2020). INTEL Collaboration (2026) proposed that concentric toroidal shells could account for the relatively high asphericity ( $P \sim 4$  per cent) of SN 2024abvb, as well as for the structure observed in their higher-resolution spectra. Clumpy CSM structures can create similar outcomes, as the shock propagates through regions of varying density, generating temperature behaviour consistent with CDS-like cooling (N. N. Chugai & I. J. Danziger 1994; P. Chandra 2018). A decrease in the optical depth of the CSM (INTEL Collaboration 2026), driven by expansion, can expose cooler and deeper layers or modify the effective photosphere, leading to a lower inferred temperature (M. Nicholl 2021). Such effects are more likely in binary scenarios, where asphericity is expected to be more pronounced, and can therefore result in systematically lower observed temperatures compared to symmetric configurations.

## 6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented spectroscopic and photometric observations of SN 2024abvb, a Type Ibn/Icn event that exhibits several properties distinct from the broader Type Ibn/Icn SN population, including an unusually large projected separation from its presumed host galaxy (21.5 kpc). The combined spectroscopic and photometric dataset highlights how atypical SN 2024abvb is relative to other hydrogen- and helium-deficient interacting supernovae.

SN 2024abvb displays a g-band rise time notably longer than that of typical Type Icn, and Ibn, events. The ATLAS  $\alpha$ -band rise time is also marginally extended relative to other Type Icns. All photometric bands exhibit linear post-peak declines, with the steepest fading observed in the UV and blue optical filters, and the shallowest in the NIR. This behaviour is consistent with sustained high-energy photon reprocessing.

In the  $r$  band, SN 2024abvb declines at a rate comparable to other Type Icn events but peaks at a higher luminosity. This suggests a similar low-mass CSM environment and progenitor pathway as for SN 2019hgp, albeit with a slightly higher peak magnitude ( $M_r \approx -19.2$  mag), indicative of a more efficient conversion of shock energy into radiation.

The bolometric light-curve of SN 2024abvb declines approximately linearly after peak, but the peak blackbody temperature is substantially lower than that found in other interacting Type Ibn/Icn SNe. The fractional flux evolution confirms that UV emission dominates at early times, with optical flux rising to match the UV contribution as the ejecta–CSM interaction proceeds. The NIR fraction initially decreases and then stabilizes slightly above its early value, suggesting minimal dust formation or dust formation occurring after the epochs probed by our last NIR observations. The overall bolometric evolution resembles the CSM-interaction USSN models of T. J. Moriya et al. (2025), with the exception of the larger ejecta mass inferred for SN 2024abvb.

Spectroscopically, SN 2024abvb exhibits an initially blue continuum with multiple narrow P-Cygni features, dominated by C II  $\lambda 5890$  in emission. The continuum temperature decreases with time, reaching a plateau after  $\sim 20$  d, likely due to metal-line blanketing. Weak He I  $\lambda 5876$  absorption is detected in the earliest medium-resolution spectra, and the same spectra reveal a broad red-wing shoulder associated with C II  $\lambda 5890$ , further suggesting

heavy metal-line blanketing or possibly an asymmetric CSM configuration.

O II  $\lambda 4651$  is present in the early-time spectra, broadens at intermediate epochs, and disappears at later times. In contrast, C II  $\lambda 5890$  persists throughout the observed period, transitioning to a P-Cygni profile in both low- and medium-resolution spectra after  $\sim 20$  d. The medium-resolution data also show H I  $\lambda 4861$ , O II  $\lambda 4651$ , and Fe II  $\lambda 5363$  with discernible P-Cygni features even at late times.

Comparisons with other Type Icn and Type Ibn SNe reinforce the uniqueness of SN 2024abvb. While some similarities exist, the spectra and photometric evolution strongly disfavour a Type Ibn classification and instead support a carbon-rich, low-mass CSM environment consistent with an Type Ibn/Icn SN.

The expansion velocities of SN 2024abvb peak at values compatible with canonical WR wind speeds. However, the subsequent decline is inconsistent with expectations for an unshocked WR-like wind or shell. This suggests that the CSM may have been produced either by a discrete eruptive episode or by binary interaction. In an eruptive scenario, the implied mass-loss rate would be high enough to dominate the local density structure, while a steady WR wind alone would struggle to reproduce the observed CSM properties. The velocities are comparable to those of other interacting SNe but point toward a progenitor pathway more complex than that of a single WR star.

It is unlikely that SN 2024abvb originated from a thermonuclear (Type Ia) explosion; the corresponding light-curves are incompatible with those observed. A scenario involving a rare massive star formed in a low-star-formation region also appears improbable given the extremely low local SFR. The most plausible explanation is that SN 2024abvb was an USSNe, a scenario capable of accounting for most of its observed properties and naturally explaining the extreme separation from the host. However, this interpretation does not reconcile the discrepancy between the ejecta mass inferred for SN 2024abvb and the significantly lower ejecta masses predicted by current USSNe models (e.g. T. M. Tauris et al. 2017; T. J. Moriya et al. 2025).

Ultimately, advancing our understanding of interacting SNe will require a larger sample of well-observed events, with dense photometric coverage and, crucially, higher-resolution spectroscopy and spectropolarimetry to probe potential asymmetries in their ejecta and circumstellar environments. Such data sets are essential for disentangling the diverse progenitor channels that give rise to interacting explosions and for clarifying the roles of single and binary evolution in shaping their observable properties. With improved observational constraints, we can move toward a more complete and physically grounded picture of the late-stage evolution of massive stars and the mechanisms that produce these rare and intriguing transients.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CI gratefully acknowledges the support received from the MERAC Foundation. TEMB is funded by Horizon Europe ERC grant no. 101125877. JRF is supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) Graduate Research Fellowship Program under grant 2139319. CPG acknowledges financial support from the Secretary of Universities and Research (Government of Catalonia) and by the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme of the European Union under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie and the Beatriu de Pinós 2021 BP 00168 programme, from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MCIN) and the

Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) 10.13039/501100011033 under the PID2023-151307NB-I00 SNNEXT project, from Centro Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) under the PIE project 20215AT016 and the programme Unidad de Excelencia María de Maeztu CEX2020-001058-M, and from the Departament de Recerca i Universitats de la Generalitat de Catalunya through the 2021-SGR-01270 grant. TLK acknowledges support via a Warwick Astrophysics prize post-doctoral fellowship made possible thanks to a generous philanthropic donation. GL was supported by a research grant (VIL60862) from VILLUM FONDEN. SM is funded by Leverhulme Trust grant RPG-2023-240. MP acknowledges support from a UK Research and Innovation Fellowship (MR/T020784/1 and UKRI1062). Based on observations collected at Copernico and Schmidt telescopes (Asiago Mount Ekar, Italy) of the INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova. AR acknowledges financial support from the GRAWITA Large Program Grant (PI P. D’Avanzo). AR, AP, GV acknowledge financial support from the PRIN-INAF 2022 ‘Shedding light on the nature of gap transients: from the observations to the models’ BW acknowledges the UKRI’s STFC studentship grant funding, project reference ST/X508871/1 FEB acknowledges support from ANID-Chile BASAL CATA FB210003, FONDECYT Regular 1241005, and Millennium Science Initiative, AIM23-0001. T-WC acknowledges support from the Ministry of Education Yushan Fellow Programme (MOE-111-YSFMS-0008-001-P1) and from the National Science and Technology Council, Taiwan (NSTC 114-2112-M-008-021-MY3). GV and AR acknowledge financial support from the SOXS project (PI S. Campana). This article is also based on observations obtained from the La Silla Observatory with the REM telescope, under the program REM AOT47-37 (ID 49337, PI: G. Valerin). KAB is supported by an LSST-DA Catalyst Fellowship; this publication was thus made possible through the support of Grant 62192 from the John Templeton Foundation to LSST-DA. JDL acknowledges support from a UK Research and Innovation Future Leaders Fellowship (grant references MR/T020784/1 and UKRI1062).

Based on observations collected at the European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere, Chile, as part of ePESSTO+ (the advanced Public ESO Spectroscopic Survey for Transient Objects Survey – PI: Inserra). ePESSTO + observations were obtained under ESO programme ID 112.25JQ. This work makes use of data from the Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS) project. The ATLAS project is primarily funded by NASA grants. The Gravitational-wave Optical Transient Observer (GOTO) project acknowledges the support of the Monash-Warwick Alliance; University of Warwick; Monash University; University of Sheffield; University of Leicester; Armagh Observatory & Planetarium; the National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand (NARIT); Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC); University of Portsmouth; University of Turku; University of Manchester and the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC, grant numbers ST/T007184/1, ST/T003103/1, and ST/T000406/1). This article includes observations made in the Two-meter Twin Telescope (TTT) in the Teide Observatory of the IAC, that Light Bridges operates in the Island of Tenerife, Canary Islands (Spain). The Observing Time Rights (DTO) used for this research were provided by Light Bridges, SL. This paper uses data that were obtained by The Legacy Surveys: the Dark Energy Camera Legacy Survey (DECaLS; NOAO Proposal ID # 2014B-0404; PIs: David Schlegel and Arjun Dey), the Beijing-Arizona Sky Survey (BASS; NOAO Proposal ID # 2015A-0801; PIs: Zhou Xu and Xiaohui

Fan), and the Mayall z-band Legacy Survey (MzLS; NOAO Proposal ID # 2016A-0453; PI: Arjun Dey). DECaLS, BASS and MzLS together include data obtained, respectively, at the Blanco telescope, Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatory (NOAO); the Bok telescope, Steward Observatory, University of Arizona; and the Mayall telescope, Kitt Peak National Observatory, NOAO. NOAO is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. Please see <http://legacysurvey.org> for details regarding the Legacy Surveys. BASS is a key project of the Telescope Access Program (TAP), which has been funded by the National Astronomical Observatories of China, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (the Strategic Priority Research Programme ‘The Emergence of Cosmological Structures’ Grant No. XDB09000000), and the Special Fund for Astronomy from the Ministry of Finance. The BASS is also supported by the External Cooperation Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. 114A11KYSB20160057) and Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 11433005). The Legacy Surveys imaging of the DESI footprint is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH1123, and by the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility under the same contract; and by the U.S. National Science Foundation, Division of Astronomical Sciences under Contract No. AST-0950945 to the National Optical Astronomy Observatory. The VISTA Hemisphere Survey data products served at Astro Data Lab are based on observations collected at the European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere under ESO programme 179.A-2010, and/or data products created thereof. Pan-STARRS is a project of the Institute for Astronomy of the University of Hawaii, and is supported by the NASA SSO Near Earth Observation Program under grants 80NSSC18K0971, NNX14AM74G, NNX12AR65G, NNX13AQ47G, NNX08AR22G, 80NSSC21K1572 and by the State of Hawaii. This work makes use of observations from the Las Cumbres Observatory network. The LCO team is supported by NSF grants AST-2308113 and AST-1911151.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

Photometry data are listed in the appendix. All spectra are available on WISEREP (<https://www.wiserep.org>).

## REFERENCES

- Ambikasaran S., Foreman-Mackey D., Greengard L., Hogg D. W., O’Neil M., 2015, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 38, 252
- Bellm E. C. et al., 2019, *Publ. Aatron. Soc. Pac.*, 131, 018002
- Brown T. M. et al., 2013, *Publ. Aatron. Soc. Pac.*, 125, 1031
- Buzzoni B. et al., 1984, *The Messenger*, 38, 9
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245
- Chabrier G., 2003, *Publ. Aatron. Soc. Pac.*, 115, 763
- Chambers K. C. et al., 2019, preprint ([arXiv:1612.05560](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05560))
- Chandra P., 2018, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 214, 26
- Chatzopoulos E., Wheeler J. C., 2012, *ApJ*, 748, 42
- Chevalier R. A., Fransson C., 1994, *ApJ*, 420, 268
- Chevalier R. A., Fransson C., 2017, in Alsabti A. W., Murdin P., eds, *Handbook of Supernovae*. Springer International Publishing, Berlin, p. 875
- Chiba R., Moriya T. J., 2024, *ApJ*, 973, 14

- Chugai N. N., Danziger I. J., 1994, *MNRAS*, 268, 173
- Chugai N. N. et al., 2004, *MNRAS*, 352, 1213
- Conroy C., Gunn J. E., White M., 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 486
- Covino S. et al., 2002, in Favata F., Drake J. J., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 277, Stellar Coronae in the Chandra and XMM-NEWTON Era*, Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 449
- Crowther P. A., 2007, *ARA&A*, 45, 177
- Dalton G. B. et al., 2006, in McLean I. S., Iye M., eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 6269, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 62690X
- Davis K. W. et al., 2023, *MNRAS*, 523, 2530
- Dessart L., Audit E., Hillier D. J., 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 4304
- Dessart L., Hillier D. J., Kuncarayakti H., 2022, *A&A*, 658, A130
- Dey A. et al., 2019, *AJ*, 157, 168
- Djupvik A. A., Andersen J., 2010, in Diego J. M., Goicoechea L. J., González-Serrano J. I., Gorgas J., eds, *Astrophys. Space Sci. Proc. Vol. 14, Highlights of Spanish Astrophysics V*. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, p. 211
- Doliva-Dolinsky A. et al., 2025, *ApJ*, 989, 16
- Drout M. R. et al., 2014, *ApJ*, 794, 23
- Dyer M. J. et al., 2024, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 13094, The Gravitational-wave Optical Transient Observer (GOTO)*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 8
- Eldridge J. J., Langer N., Tout C. A., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 3501
- Eldridge J. J., Fraser M., Smartt S. J., Maund J. R., Crockett R. M., 2013, *MNRAS*, 436, 774
- Emerson J., McPherson A., Sutherland W., 2006, *The Messenger*, 126, 41
- Filippenko A. V., 1997, *ARA&A*, 35, 309
- Foley R. J., Smith N., Ganeshalingam M., Li W., Chornock R., Filippenko A. V., 2007, *ApJ*, 657, L105
- Foley R. J. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 767, 57
- Foreman-Mackey D., Hogg D. W., Lang D., Goodman J., 2013, *PASP*, 125, 306
- Gal-Yam A. et al., 2022, *Nature*, 601, 201
- Gallazzi A., Charlot S., Brinchmann J., White S. D. M., Tremonti C. A., 2005, *MNRAS*, 362, 41
- Geha M., Blanton M. R., Yan R., Tinker J. L., 2012, *ApJ*, 757, 85
- Groot P. J. et al., 2024, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.*, 136, 115003
- Hainich R. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 565, A27
- Hamann W.-R. et al., 2019, *A&A*, 625, A57
- Hosseinzadeh G. et al., 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 158
- Hosseinzadeh G., McCully C., Zabludoff A. I., Arcavi I., French K. D., Howell D. A., Berger E., Hiramatsu D., 2019, *ApJ*, 871, L9
- Hu M. et al., 2026, SN 2024abvb: A Type Icn Supernova in the Outskirts of its Host Galaxy. preprint (arXiv:2601.01333)
- Hunter D. J. et al., 2009, *A&A*, 508, 371
- INTEL Collaboration, 2026, preprint (arXiv:2602.00389)
- Inserra C. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 1046
- Irrgang A., Kreuzer S., Heber U., 2018, *A&A*, 620, A48
- Jha S., Branch D., Chornock R., Foley R. J., Li W., Swift B. J., Casebeer D., Filippenko A. V., 2006, *AJ*, 132, 189
- Johnson B. D., Leja J., Conroy C., Speagle J. S., 2021, *ApJS*, 254, 22
- Jordan G. C., Perets H. B., Fisher R. T., van Rossum D. R., 2012, *ApJ*, 761, L23
- Kasen D., Woosley S. E., 2009, *ApJ*, 703, 2205
- Komatsu E. et al., 2011, *ApJS*, 192, 18
- Kudritzki R.-P., Puls J., 2000, *ARA&A*, 38, 613
- Kurfürst P., Pejcha O., Krčička J., 2020, *A&A*, 642, A214
- Lyman J. D., Bersier D., James P. A., Mazzali P. A., Eldridge J. J., Fraser M., Pian E., 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 328
- Magnier E., 2006, in Ryan S. ed., *The Advanced Maui Optical and Space Surveillance Technologies Conference. The Maui Economic Development Board*, Hawaii, p. E50
- Magnier E. A. et al., 2020, *ApJS*, 251, 6
- Mattila S. et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, 389, 141
- McCully C. et al., 2014, *Nature*, 512, 54
- Meynet G., Maeder A., 2005, *A&A*, 429, 581
- Minkowski R., 1941, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.*, 53, 224
- Moriya T. J., Mueller B., Blinnikov S. I., Ushakova M., Sorokina E. I., Tauris T. M., Heger A., 2025, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan*, 77, 1385
- Nagao T. et al., 2023, *A&A*, 673, A27
- Newville M., Stensitzki T., Allen D. B., Ingargiola A., 2014, *LMFIT: Non-Linear Least-Square Minimization and Curve-Fitting for Python*. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11813>
- Nicholl M., 2021, *Astron. Geophys.*, 62, 5
- Nomoto K., 1987, *ApJ*, 322, 206
- Nymark T. K., Fransson C., Kozma C., 2006, *A&A*, 449, 171
- Pastorello A. et al., 2007, *Nature*, 447, 829
- Pastorello A. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 1921
- Pellegrino C. et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 938, 73
- Perets H. B., 2008, *ApJ*, 690, 795
- Perets H. B. et al., 2010, *Nature*, 465, 322
- Perley D. A. et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 927, 180
- Pignata G. et al., 2004, *MNRAS*, 355, 178
- Prentice S. J. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 478, 4162
- Pursiainen M. et al., 2023, *ApJ*, 959, L10
- Sander A. A. C., Hamann W.-R., Todt H., Hainich R., Shenar T., Ramachandran V., Oskina L. M., 2019, *A&A*, 621, A92
- Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103
- Schulze S. et al., 2025, *Nature*, 644, 634
- Sharina M. E., 2019, *Astrophys.*, 62, 9
- Shi J. et al., 2026, preprint (arXiv:2602.16227)
- Smartt S. J. et al., 2015, *A&A*, 579, A40
- Smith L. F., Aller L. H., 1971, *ApJ*, 164, 275
- Smith N., 2017, *Interacting Supernovae: Types IIIn and Ibn*. Springer International Publishing, Berlin Heidelberg, p. 403
- Smith N., McCray R., 2007, *ApJ*, 671, L17
- Smith N., Li W., Filippenko A. V., Chornock R., 2011, *MNRAS*, 412, 1522
- Srivastav S. et al., 2022, *MNRAS*, 511, 2708
- Steehls D. et al., 2022a, *MNRAS*, 511, 2405
- Steehls D. et al., 2022b, *MNRAS*, 511, 2405
- Stritzinger M. et al., 2002, *AJ*, 124, 2100
- Stritzinger M., Fraser M., Pastorello A., Hoogendam W., Morrell N., Gutiérrez C., 2024, *Transient Name Server Classification Report*, 2024-4674, 1
- Sukhbold T., Ertl T., Woosley S. E., Brown J. M., Janka H.-T., 2016, *ApJ*, 821, 38
- Suzuki A., Moriya T. J., Takiwaki T., 2019, *ApJ*, 887, 249
- Tauris T. M., Langer N., Moriya T. J., Podsiadlowski P., Yoon S.-C., Blinnikov S. I., 2013, *ApJ*, 778, L23
- Tauris T. M., Langer N., Podsiadlowski P., 2015, *MNRAS*, 451, 2123
- Tauris T. M. et al., 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 170
- Tony J. et al., 2024, *Transient Name Server Discovery Report*, 2024-4579, 1
- Tony J. L. et al., 2018, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.*, 130, 064505
- Torres A. V., Conti P. S., 1984, *ApJ*, 280, 181
- Vázquez-Semadeni E., Gómez G. C., Jappsen A.-K., Ballesteros-Paredes J., Klessen R. S., 2009, *ApJ*, 707, 1023
- Watkins L. L., van der Marel R. P., Sohn S. T., Wyn Evans N., 2019, *ApJ*, 873, 118
- Wheeler J. C., Levreault R., 1985, *ApJ*, 294, L17
- Woosley S. E., Langer N., Weaver T. A., 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 315
- Wu C. et al., 2024, *ApJ*, 967, L45
- Yaron O., Gal-Yam A., 2012, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.*, 124, 668

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at *MNRAS* online.


### suppl\_data

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

## APPENDIX A: DATA TABLES

**Table A1.** Table of all spectral observations. This table is also available as supplementary material.

Date of obs	MJD	Rest frame phase (w.r.t maximum in days)	Instrument	Grism	Slit	Resolution (Å)
2024-11-27	60641.90	-3.2	NOT/ALFOSC	Gr4	1.0''	16.2
2024-11-29	60643.08	-2.1	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr11,Gr16,Gr18	1.0''	17.16, 17.29, 8.19
2024-11-30	60644.06	-1.1	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr11,Gr16,Gr18	1.0''	17.16, 17.29, 8.19
2024-12-02	60646.22	1.1	FLOYDS		2.0''	17.0
2024-12-05	60649.05	3.9	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr11,Gr16,Gr18	1.0''	17.16, 17.29, 8.19
2024-12-07	60651.05	5.9	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr11,Gr16,Gr18	1.0''	17.16, 17.29, 8.19
2024-12-08	60652.21	7.1	FLOYDS		2.0''	17.0
2024-12-19	60663.27	18.1	FLOYDS		2.0''	17.0
2024-12-21	60665.06	19.9	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr11,Gr16	1.0''	17.16, 17.29
2024-12-22	60666.10	21.0	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr18	1.0''	8.19
2024-12-25	60669.04	23.9	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr11,Gr16	1.0''	17.16, 17.29
2024-12-29	60673.03	27.9	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr16	1.0''	17.29
2024-12-30	60674.04	28.9	NTT/EFOSC2	Gr18	1.0''	8.19

**Figure A1.** Figure displaying UV-NIR best-fit MOSFiT model light curves and 10 confidence intervals.




Figure A2. Corner plot of the best-fit MOSFiT model using a Type Ic SN with CSM contribution.

**Table A2.** A table displaying all photometric data used. This table is also available as supplementary material.

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
60641.84	B	16.970	0.080	UVOT
60642.97	B	16.971	0.100	NOT
60643.67	B	16.860	0.060	UVOT
60643.77	B	16.876	0.027	67/91,Moravian
60644.72	B	16.916	0.025	67/91,Moravian
60644.84	B	16.835	0.013	LCO
60644.84	B	16.827	0.014	LCO
60645.70	B	16.810	0.060	UVOT
60645.73	B	16.977	0.032	67/91,Moravian
60646.72	B	16.022	0.036	67/91,Moravian
60646.83	B	16.937	0.015	LCO
60646.83	B	16.949	0.014	LCO
60647.75	B	17.065	0.058	67/91,Moravian
60648.10	B	17.054	0.024	LCO
60648.11	B	17.043	0.024	LCO
60650.04	B	17.258	0.017	LCO
60650.04	B	17.266	0.018	LCO
60650.99	B	17.380	0.080	UVOT
60651.85	B	17.348	0.017	LCO
60651.85	B	17.351	0.017	LCO
60655.71	B	17.871	0.068	67/91,Moravian
60655.94	B	17.811	0.032	LCO
60655.94	B	17.855	0.029	LCO
60656.42	B	17.860	0.090	UVOT
60658.82	B	18.426	0.035	LCO
60658.83	B	18.442	0.036	LCO
60659.23	B	18.560	0.140	UVOT
60664.10	B	19.770	0.029	LCO
60664.11	B	19.771	0.031	LCO
60667.11	B	20.662	0.120	LCO
60667.11	B	20.466	0.092	LCO
60669.71	B	>20.120	-	67/91,Moravian
60675.04	B	21.518	0.154	LCO
60675.04	B	22.408	0.282	LCO
60678.05	B	22.076	0.248	LCO
60690.05	B	20.967	0.222	LCO
60693.04	B	22.054	0.429	LCO
60693.04	B	22.311	0.416	LCO
60683.81	B	>21.711	-	Liverpool
60641.84	V	16.98	0.15	UVOT
60642.97	V	16.906	0.1	NOT
60643.08	V	16.75	0.054	NTT
60643.08	V	16.746	0.023	NTT
60643.15	V	16.741	0.042	NTT
60643.67	V	16.9	0.12	UVOT
60643.77	V	16.705	0.027	67/91,Moravian
60644.05	V	16.712	0.042	NTT
60644.06	V	16.714	0.036	NTT
60644.14	V	16.705	0.04	NTT
60644.72	V	16.685	0.024	67/91,Moravian
60644.84	V	16.718	0.016	LCO
60644.85	V	16.723	0.018	LCO
60645.7	V	16.84	0.1	UVOT
60645.72	V	16.704	0.023	67/91,Moravian
60646.71	V	16.745	0.035	67/91,Moravian
60646.83	V	16.794	0.018	LCO
60646.83	V	16.797	0.018	LCO
60647.74	V	16.796	0.037	67/91,Moravian
60648.11	V	16.88	0.065	LCO
60648.11	V	16.879	0.046	LCO
60649.05	V	16.856	0.024	NTT

**Table A2** – *continued*

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
60649.14	V	16.857	0.044	NTT
60650.04	V	17.008	0.015	LCO
60650.05	V	16.982	0.015	LCO
60650.99	V	17.17	0.13	UVOT
60651.05	V	16.957	0.02	NTT
60651.08	V	16.971	0.026	NTT
60651.12	V	16.96	0.038	NTT
60651.85	V	17.093	0.019	LCO
60651.85	V	17.108	0.021	LCO
60655.7	V	17.361	0.109	67/91,Moravian
60655.95	V	17.121	0.206	LCO
60655.95	V	16.787	0.201	LCO
60656.42	V	17.67	0.15	UVOT
60658.83	V	17.837	0.027	LCO
60658.83	V	17.925	0.029	LCO
60659.23	V	18.02	0.19	UVOT
60664.11	V	18.821	0.028	LCO
60664.11	V	18.789	0.028	LCO
60665.06	V	18.926	0.034	NTT
60665.11	V	18.919	0.025	NTT
60666.09	V	19.162	0.04	NTT
60667.12	V	19.508	0.064	LCO
60667.12	V	19.77	0.07	LCO
60669.04	V	20.111	0.064	NTT
60669.09	V	20.108	0.078	NTT
60669.7	V	>19.947	-	67/91,Moravian
60672.07	V	20.672	0.071	NTT
60672.11	V	20.673	0.066	NTT
60673.03	V	20.932	0.082	NTT
60673.08	V	20.933	0.076	NTT
60674.04	V	20.981	0.18	NTT
60674.09	V	20.972	0.094	NTT
60675.05	V	21.159	0.152	LCO
60675.05	V	21.422	0.185	LCO
60678.06	V	21.496	0.321	LCO
60678.06	V	21.391	0.281	LCO
60683.82	V	>20.399	-	Liverpool
60687.06	V	21.037	0.321	LCO
60690.06	V	21.049	0.38	LCO
60641.84	U	17.17	0.07	UVOT
60643.67	U	17	0.06	UVOT
60643.75	U	17.121	0.05	67/91,Moravian
60644.73	U	17.124	0.05	67/91,Moravian
60645.7	U	16.98	0.05	UVOT
60650.99	U	17.56	0.07	UVOT
60656.42	U	18.68	0.1	UVOT
60659.23	U	19.45	0.17	UVOT
60614.36	g	>21.124	-	ZTF
60622.19	g	>20.420	-	ZTF
60632.13	g	>20.180	-	ZTF
60634.25	g	>20.764	-	ZTF
60635.3	g	20.238	0.174	ZTF
60637.23	g	>20.239	-	ZTF
60639.31	g	>16.841	-	ZTF
60642.82	g	16.910	0.016	TTT
60642.98	g	16.699	0.013	LCO
60642.99	g	16.892	0.016	TTT
60643.09	g	16.781	0.182	REM
60643.15	g	16.767	0.041	ZTF
60643.73	g	16.757	0.022	67/91,Moravian-DIF
60643.97	g	16.830	0.022	TTT
60644.11	g	16.718	0.027	REM

Table A2 – continued

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
60644.81	g	16.665	0.011	LCO
60644.82	g	16.870	0.016	TTT
60645.01	g	16.855	0.019	TTT
60645.22	g	16.7	0.041	REM
60646.23	g	16.76	0.056	REM
60646.79	g	16.787	0.012	LCO
60646.82	g	16.946	0.026	TTT
60647.82	g	17.036	0.016	TTT
60647.99	g	17.024	0.018	TTT
60648.03	g	16.855	0.057	REM
60649.08	g	16.94	0.013	LCO
60650.21	g	16.992	0.05	REM
60650.85	g	17.124	0.014	LCO
60652.23	g	17.137	0.065	REM
60654.13	g	17.306	0.253	REM
60656.07	g	17.514	0.344	REM
60656.46	g	17.683	0.032	LCO
60658.46	g	18.108	0.039	LCO
60660.46	g	18.526	0.058	LCO
60661.04	g	18.497	0.05	REM
60662.92	g	18.991	0.063	LCO
60663.12	g	19.343	0.172	REM
60664.46	g	19.42	0.056	LCO
60665.14	g	19.748	0.127	REM
60666.81	g	20.193	0.115	LCO
60667.16	g	20.242	0.144	REM
60668.47	g	20.51	0.15	LCO
60670.75	g	20.866	0.141	AFOSC
60671.03	g	21.092	0.14	REM
60672.13	g	21.385	0.194	REM
60672.46	g	21.597	0.324	LCO
60673.15	g	21.649	0.267	REM
60675.06	g	21.308	0.272	LCO
60676.06	g	>21.623	–	REM
60680.09	g	>21.646	–	REM
60683.82	g	>20.642	–	Liverpool
57406.24	r	>22.773	–	PS1
60614.2	r	>20.779	–	ZTF
60616.33	r	>21.216	–	ZTF
60616.33	r	21.72	0.462	ZTF
60619.17	r	>20.953	–	ZTF
60620.14	r	>20.294	–	ZTF
60622.17	r	>20.880	–	ZTF
60625.33	r	>19.996	–	ZTF
60632.23	r	>20.951	–	ZTF
60634.23	r	>20.410	–	ZTF
60635.17	r	>20.817	–	ZTF
60637.2	r	18.023	0.021	ZTF
60642.97	r	16.91	0.121	NOT
60642.98	r	16.851	0.017	LCO
60643.09	r	16.885	0.029	REM
60643.74	r	16.867	0.024	67/91,Moravian-DIF
60644.11	r	16.836	0.063	REM
60644.81	r	16.822	0.012	LCO
60645.22	r	16.824	0.067	REM
60646.23	r	16.837	0.034	REM
60646.79	r	16.846	0.011	LCO
60648.03	r	16.914	0.022	REM
60649.08	r	17.024	0.015	LCO
60650.21	r	17.03	0.029	REM
60650.85	r	17.051	0.014	LCO
60652.23	r	17.137	0.036	REM
60654.13	r	17.329	0.121	REM

Table A2 – continued

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
60656.07	r	17.594	0.058	REM
60656.46	r	17.514	0.03	LCO
60658.14	r	17.785	0.112	REM
60658.46	r	17.682	0.028	LCO
60660.46	r	18.109	0.039	LCO
60661.04	r	18.106	0.062	REM
60662.92	r	18.461	0.042	LCO
60663.12	r	18.57	0.08	REM
60664.46	r	18.818	0.042	LCO
60665.14	r	18.922	0.067	REM
60666.81	r	19.232	0.063	LCO
60667.16	r	19.397	0.061	REM
60668.47	r	19.745	0.113	LCO
60670.75	r	20.434	0.071	AFOSC
60671.04	r	20.269	0.079	REM
60672.13	r	20.604	0.136	REM
60672.46	r	20.716	0.187	LCO
60673.15	r	20.823	0.244	REM
60674.13	r	21.016	0.253	REM
60675.06	r	20.867	0.204	LCO
60676.06	r	21.331	0.227	REM
60677.04	r	20.87	0.271	LCO
60680.09	r	21.785	0.311	REM
60684.12	r	20.129	0.14	REM
57710.38	i	>21.008	–	PS1
57971.58	i	>22.599	–	PS1
58063.39	i	>22.614	–	PS1
58719.55	i	>22.659	–	PS1
59101.6	i	>22.458	–	PS1
59511.41	i	>21.654	–	PS1
59838.48	i	>22.060	–	PS1
60220.55	i	>21.469	–	PS1
60630.34	i	>19.873	–	PS1
60642.98	i	16.936	0.017	LCO
60643.09	i	16.912	0.035	REM
60643.74	i	16.891	0.027	67/91,Moravian-DIF
60644.11	i	16.869	0.083	REM
60644.81	i	16.873	0.014	LCO
60645.22	i	16.873	0.036	REM
60646.23	i	16.905	0.113	REM
60646.79	i	16.88	0.015	LCO
60648.03	i	16.977	0.029	REM
60649.08	i	17.049	0.014	LCO
60650.21	i	17.078	0.089	REM
60650.85	i	17.12	0.015	LCO
60652.23	i	17.188	0.103	REM
60654.13	i	17.287	0.064	REM
60656.07	i	17.447	0.115	REM
60656.46	i	17.514	0.028	LCO
60658.14	i	17.638	0.09	REM
60658.46	i	17.68	0.034	LCO
60660.46	i	17.855	0.033	LCO
60661.04	i	17.951	0.04	REM
60662.92	i	18.267	0.053	LCO
60663.12	i	18.362	0.133	REM
60664.46	i	18.461	0.038	LCO
60665.14	i	18.603	0.141	REM
60666.81	i	18.966	0.075	LCO
60667.16	i	18.985	0.088	REM
60668.47	i	19.583	0.099	LCO
60670.74	i	20.206	0.053	AFOSC
60671.04	i	19.92	0.133	REM
60672.13	i	20.086	0.18	REM

Table A2 – continued

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
60672.47	i	20.391	0.161	LCO
60673.15	i	20.227	0.205	REM
60674.13	i	>20.282	–	REM
60675.06	i	20.345	0.155	LCO
60676.06	i	20.55	0.181	REM
60677.04	i	20.536	0.241	LCO
60680.09	i	20.918	0.281	REM
60684.12	i	>19.880	–	REM
60642.82	z	17.083	0.038	TTT
60642.98	z	17.103	0.023	LCO
60642.99	z	16.993	0.033	TTT
60643.09	z	17.013	0.145	REM
60643.96	z	17.055	0.033	TTT
60644.11	z	16.98	0.276	REM
60644.81	z	16.964	0.024	LCO
60644.82	z	17.002	0.030	TTT
60645.01	z	16.932	0.040	TTT
60645.22	z	16.988	0.28	REM
60646.23	z	17.024	0.094	REM
60646.79	z	16.992	0.021	LCO
60646.82	z	17.005	0.195	TTT
60646.99	z	17.058	0.038	TTT
60647.82	z	17.040	0.036	TTT
60647.99	z	16.978	0.035	TTT
60648.03	z	17.086	0.17	REM
60649.08	z	17.091	0.021	LCO
60650.21	z	17.153	0.369	REM
60650.85	z	17.178	0.018	LCO
60652.23	z	17.23	0.31	REM
60654.13	z	17.356	0.171	REM
60656.07	z	17.505	0.16	REM
60656.46	z	17.508	0.033	LCO
60658.14	z	17.713	0.282	REM
60658.46	z	17.615	0.054	LCO
60660.46	z	17.87	0.052	LCO
60661.04	z	18.022	0.259	REM
60662.92	z	18.205	0.056	LCO
60663.12	z	18.261	0.158	REM
60664.46	z	18.357	0.049	LCO
60665.14	z	18.51	0.298	REM
60666.81	z	18.808	0.133	LCO
60667.16	z	18.806	0.48	REM
60668.48	z	19.224	0.117	LCO
60670.74	z	19.788	0.089	AFOOSC
60671.04	z	>19.383	–	REM
60672.13	z	>19.273	–	REM
60672.47	z	19.957	0.209	LCO
60673.15	z	>19.127	–	REM
60674.13	z	>19.122	–	REM
60675.06	z	19.842	0.18	LCO
60676.06	z	>19.333	–	REM
60677.04	z	19.987	0.262	LCO
60680.09	z	>19.488	–	REM
60684.12	z	>18.748	–	REM
58345.6	w	>22.788	–	PS1
58351.59	w	>22.488	–	PS1
58489.24	w	>22.189	–	PS1
58733.54	w	>22.372	–	PS1
58751.49	w	>23.444	–	PS1
58752.5	w	>23.212	–	PS1
58813.3	w	>23.675	–	PS1
59105.52	w	>23.576	–	PS1
59140.45	w	>23.527	–	PS1
59195.28	w	>22.591	–	PS1

Table A2 – continued

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
59218.24	w	>23.225	–	PS1
59222.29	w	>22.081	–	PS1
59465.56	w	>23.300	–	PS1
59486.55	w	>22.440	–	PS1
59490.4	w	>23.236	–	PS1
59500.38	w	>22.242	–	PS1
59516.32	w	>23.048	–	PS1
59527.28	w	>22.359	–	PS1
59543.29	w	>23.391	–	PS1
59810.61	w	>23.168	–	PS1
59822.54	w	>23.647	–	PS1
59827.53	w	>23.037	–	PS1
59850.49	w	>23.315	–	PS1
59870.38	w	>23.046	–	PS1
59907.37	w	>22.642	–	PS1
60175.59	w	>22.886	–	PS2
60208.51	w	>22.024	–	PS1
60223.46	w	>22.438	–	PS1
60227.44	w	>22.674	–	PS2
60232.39	w	>22.321	–	PS2
60235.39	w	>22.977	–	PS1
60238.4	w	>22.771	–	PS2
60256.34	w	>22.888	–	PS1
60259.38	w	>22.408	–	PS1
60264.33	w	>22.434	–	PS1
60283.31	w	>23.031	–	PS2
60290.23	w	>22.820	–	PS1
60559.55	w	>22.170	–	PS2
60563.51	w	>23.368	–	PS1
60564.55	w	>23.306	–	PS1
60581.5	w	>22.857	–	PS2
60588.42	w	>22.261	–	PS1
60592.45	w	>22.380	–	PS2
60605.39	w	>22.555	–	PS2
60635.33	w	19.876	0.028	PS1
60641.32	w	17.096	0.004	PS1
60642.98	w	16.877	0.1	NOT
60647.29	w	16.768	0.002	PS2
60616.07	L	>18.000	–	GOTO–L
60636.92	L	18.340	0.110	GOTO–L
60636.92	L	18.335	0.108	GOTO–L
60640.48	L	17.160	0.019	GOTO–L
60640.48	L	17.277	0.043	GOTO–L
60656.54	L	17.605	0.087	GOTO–L
60656.54	L	17.684	0.069	GOTO–L
60664.86	L	18.721	0.191	GOTO–L
60633.04	q	>21.030	–	BlackGem
60640.04	q	17.270	0.020	BlackGem
60608.99	cyan	>20.856	–	ATLAS
60614.40	cyan	>20.695	–	ATLAS
60616.99	cyan	>18.787	–	ATLAS
60635.12	cyan	>20.717	–	ATLAS
60636.34	cyan	18.669	0.050	ATLAS
60639.11	cyan	17.430	0.016	ATLAS
60640.35	cyan	17.178	0.015	ATLAS
60642.98	cyan	16.897	0.100	NOT (spectrum)
60643.12	cyan	16.778	0.011	ATLAS
60644.32	cyan	16.813	0.054	ATLAS
60647.09	cyan	16.836	0.012	ATLAS
60672.80	cyan	20.465	0.251	ATLAS
60696.26	cyan	>20.740	–	ATLAS
60704.28	cyan	>19.673	–	ATLAS
60602.47	orange	>20.366	–	ATLAS
60603.24	orange	>19.912	–	ATLAS

Table A2 – continued

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
60604.41	orange	>20.466	–	ATLAS
60607.17	orange	>20.613	–	ATLAS
60611.19	orange	>20.307	–	ATLAS
60612.51	orange	>19.998	–	ATLAS
60615.18	orange	>20.695	–	ATLAS
60616.40	orange	>20.655	–	ATLAS
60618.27	orange	>20.835	–	ATLAS
60619.14	orange	>20.634	–	ATLAS
60620.90	orange	>20.556	–	ATLAS
60623.14	orange	>20.520	–	ATLAS
60624.53	orange	>20.307	–	ATLAS
60630.46	orange	>19.749	–	ATLAS
60631.15	orange	>20.020	–	ATLAS
60632.89	orange	>20.384	–	ATLAS
60634.35	orange	>20.537	–	ATLAS
60636.85	orange	18.265	0.044	ATLAS
60638.34	orange	17.740	0.016	ATLAS
60642.98	orange	16.923	0.100	NOT (spectrum)
60644.83	orange	16.847	0.015	ATLAS
60648.81	orange	16.983	0.022	ATLAS
60650.29	orange	17.083	0.015	ATLAS
60651.09	orange	16.829	0.018	ATLAS
60652.57	orange	17.205	0.017	ATLAS
60656.42	orange	17.680	0.161	ATLAS
60658.32	orange	17.823	0.045	ATLAS
60659.13	orange	17.791	0.083	ATLAS
60660.58	orange	17.964	0.036	ATLAS
60663.08	orange	18.381	0.051	ATLAS
60664.58	orange	18.621	0.063	ATLAS
60666.30	orange	18.982	0.071	ATLAS
60667.05	orange	19.099	0.120	ATLAS
60668.29	orange	19.686	0.154	ATLAS
60671.05	orange	>20.257	–	ATLAS
60672.30	orange	20.391	0.269	ATLAS
60674.27	orange	20.544	0.354	ATLAS
60678.26	orange	20.496	0.307	ATLAS
60679.04	orange	>19.861	–	ATLAS
60680.26	orange	20.675	0.358	ATLAS
60682.24	orange	>20.126	–	ATLAS
60684.26	orange	>20.433	–	ATLAS
60687.11	orange	>19.309	–	ATLAS
60688.80	orange	>19.684	–	ATLAS
60690.29	orange	>19.915	–	ATLAS
60692.82	orange	>20.235	–	ATLAS
60694.27	orange	>20.433	–	ATLAS
60643.09	J	16.668	0.155	REMIR

Table A2 – continued

MJD	Band	mag	err	Marker
60644.22	J	16.609	0.367	REMIR
60646.23	J	16.579	0.168	REMIR
60648.05	J	16.603	0.095	REMIR
60650.24	J	16.681	0.139	REMIR
60654.14	J	16.809	0.100	REMIR
60656.15	J	16.882	0.158	REMIR
60658.15	J	16.945	0.139	REMIR
60661.06	J	17.339	0.365	REMIR
60643.10	H	16.327	0.212	REMIR
60644.23	H	16.180	0.192	REMIR
60646.24	H	16.120	0.118	REMIR
60648.05	H	16.128	0.105	REMIR
60650.25	H	16.163	0.258	REMIR
60654.15	H	16.250	0.142	REMIR
60656.15	H	16.312	0.146	REMIR
60658.16	H	16.353	0.179	REMIR
60661.06	H	16.548	0.177	REMIR
60643.11	K	16.057	0.428	REMIR
60644.12	K	15.917	0.273	REMIR
60645.23	K	15.849	0.371	REMIR
60646.26	K	15.778	0.307	REMIR
60648.03	K	15.813	0.466	REMIR
60650.23	K	15.851	0.374	REMIR
60652.24	K	15.891	0.477	REMIR
60656.08	K	15.951	0.458	REMIR
60658.22	K	15.979	0.441	REMIR
60661.05	K	16.108	0.348	REMIR
60641.84	UVM2	16.650	0.080	UVOT
60643.67	UVM2	16.530	0.050	UVOT
60645.70	UVM2	16.640	0.050	UVOT
60650.99	UVM2	18.060	0.090	UVOT
60656.42	UVM2	>18.970	–	UVOT
60659.23	UVM2	>19.010	–	UVOT
60641.84	UVW2	17.010	0.080	UVOT
60643.67	UVW2	16.860	0.060	UVOT
60645.70	UVW2	17.060	0.060	UVOT
60650.99	UVW2	18.250	0.110	UVOT
60656.42	UVW2	>19.540	–	UVOT
60659.23	UVW2	>19.570	–	UVOT
60641.84	UVW1	16.430	0.100	UVOT
60643.67	UVW1	16.120	0.090	UVOT
60645.70	UVW1	16.350	0.090	UVOT
60650.99	UVW1	17.360	0.120	UVOT
60656.42	UVW1	18.670	0.210	UVOT
60659.23	UVW1	>18.730	–	UVOT

<sup>1</sup>Cardiff Hub for Astrophysics Research and Technology, School of Physics & Astronomy, Cardiff University, Queens Buildings, The Parade, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, UK

<sup>2</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

<sup>3</sup>European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001, Santiago, Chile

<sup>4</sup>Instituto de Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7D, Arica 1010000, Chile

<sup>5</sup>Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721-0065, USA

<sup>6</sup>LSST-DA Catalyst Fellow

<sup>7</sup>Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2680 Woodlawn Dr, Hawaii, HI 96822, USA

<sup>8</sup>Graduate Institute of Astronomy, National Central University, 300 Jhongda Road, 32001 Jhongli, Taiwan

<sup>9</sup>Las Cumbres Observatory, 6740 Cortona Drive, Suite 102, Goleta, 93117-5575, CA, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, 93106-9350, CA, USA

<sup>11</sup>School of Physics, University College Dublin, LMI Main Building, Beech Hill Road, Dublin 4, D04 P7W1

<sup>12</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via E. Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate (LC), Italy

<sup>13</sup>Astronomical Observatory, University of Warsaw, Al. Ujazdowskie 4, PL-00-478 Warszawa, Poland

<sup>14</sup>Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), Edifici RDIT, Campus UPC, E-08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain

<sup>15</sup>Institute of Space Sciences (ICE, CSIC), Campus UAB, Carrer de Can Magrans, s/n, E-08193 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>16</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, FL-20014 Turku, Finland

<sup>17</sup>Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

<sup>18</sup>DTU Space, Department of Space Research and Space Technology, Technical University of Denmark, Elektrovej 327, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

<sup>19</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

<sup>20</sup>School of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, D02 PN40, Dublin 2, Ireland

<sup>21</sup>Instituto de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales (ICEN), Universidad Arturo Prat, 1110000, Chile

<sup>22</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica 'Ettore Pancini', Università di Napoli Federico II, Via Cinthia 9, I-80126 Naples, Italy

<sup>23</sup>INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Via Moiariello 16, I-80131 Naples, Italy

<sup>24</sup>The Oskar Klein Centre, Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University, AlbaNova SE-10691, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>25</sup>Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.